



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP 1103- Structural Mechanics Lab.	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	13.92	24.05	29.11	29.11	79	3.63 (60.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	15.19	24.05	25.32	31.65	79	3.63 (60.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	2.53	12.66	24.05	25.32	32.91	79	3.66 (60.97%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	1.27	13.92	26.58	25.32	30.38	79	3.62 (60.34%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	2.53	15.19	24.05	24.05	31.65	79	3.59 (59.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	2.53	16.46	31.65	45.57	79	4.10 (68.35%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	2.53	20.25	30.38	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	1.27	1.27	20.25	25.32	49.37	79	4.13 (68.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.80	0.00	2.53	15.19	27.85	50.63	79	4.15 (69.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.06	0.00	1.27	17.72	29.11	46.84	79	4.06 (67.72%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.10 (68.35%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.27	0.00	6.33	22.78	29.11	40.51	79	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.27	1.27	3.80	26.58	27.85	39.24	79	3.96 (66.03%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.27	0.00	5.06	24.05	26.58	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.27	0.00	3.80	26.58	26.58	41.77	79	4.03 (67.09%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	0.00	6.33	22.78	26.58	41.77	79	3.96 (66.03%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CEP1715- Engg. Application of Computers	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.80	3.80	13.92	31.65	16.46	30.38	79	3.44 (57.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	3.80	18.99	32.91	13.92	27.85	79	3.35 (55.91%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.06	3.80	13.92	34.18	15.19	27.85	79	3.34 (55.70%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	2.53	13.92	34.18	15.19	31.65	79	3.52 (58.65%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	3.80	12.66	32.91	13.92	34.18	79	3.54 (59.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CET 1301- Chem. Eng. Thermodynamics-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	3.80	0.00	16.46	13.92	29.11	36.71	79	3.75 (62.45%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3.80	3.80	10.13	20.25	26.58	35.44	79	3.68 (61.39%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	3.80	2.53	13.92	18.99	22.78	37.97	79	3.68 (61.39%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.06	1.27	10.13	15.19	29.11	39.24	79	3.80 (63.29%)
5. Overall grade	2.53	1.27	10.13	18.99	30.38	36.71	79	3.84 (63.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.49%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP 1103- Structural Mechanics Lab.	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	13.92	24.05	29.11	29.11	79	3.63 (60.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	15.19	24.05	25.32	31.65	79	3.63 (60.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	2.53	12.66	24.05	25.32	32.91	79	3.66 (60.97%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	1.27	13.92	26.58	25.32	30.38	79	3.62 (60.34%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	2.53	15.19	24.05	24.05	31.65	79	3.59 (59.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	2.53	16.46	31.65	45.57	79	4.10 (68.35%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	2.53	20.25	30.38	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	1.27	1.27	20.25	25.32	49.37	79	4.13 (68.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.80	0.00	2.53	15.19	27.85	50.63	79	4.15 (69.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.06	0.00	1.27	17.72	29.11	46.84	79	4.06 (67.72%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.10 (68.35%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.27	0.00	6.33	22.78	29.11	40.51	79	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.27	1.27	3.80	26.58	27.85	39.24	79	3.96 (66.03%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.27	0.00	5.06	24.05	26.58	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.27	0.00	3.80	26.58	26.58	41.77	79	4.03 (67.09%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	0.00	6.33	22.78	26.58	41.77	79	3.96 (66.03%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CEP1715- Engg. Application of Computers	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.80	3.80	13.92	31.65	16.46	30.38	79	3.44 (57.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	3.80	18.99	32.91	13.92	27.85	79	3.35 (55.91%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.06	3.80	13.92	34.18	15.19	27.85	79	3.34 (55.70%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	2.53	13.92	34.18	15.19	31.65	79	3.52 (58.65%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	3.80	12.66	32.91	13.92	34.18	79	3.54 (59.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CET 1101- Momentum and Mass Transfer	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2.53	0.00	3.80	2.53	26.58	64.56	79	4.44 (74.05%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	1.27	1.27	1.27	2.53	22.78	70.89	79	4.57 (76.16%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	1.27	0.00	2.53	2.53	22.78	70.89	79	4.58 (76.37%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	1.27	0.00	3.80	3.80	25.32	65.82	79	4.49 (74.89%)
5. Overall grade	1.27	1.27	2.53	2.53	20.25	72.15	79	4.56 (75.95%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.53 (75.48%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP 1103- Structural Mechanics Lab.	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	13.92	24.05	29.11	29.11	79	3.63 (60.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	15.19	24.05	25.32	31.65	79	3.63 (60.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	2.53	12.66	24.05	25.32	32.91	79	3.66 (60.97%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	1.27	13.92	26.58	25.32	30.38	79	3.62 (60.34%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	2.53	15.19	24.05	24.05	31.65	79	3.59 (59.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	2.53	16.46	31.65	45.57	79	4.10 (68.35%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	2.53	20.25	30.38	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	1.27	1.27	20.25	25.32	49.37	79	4.13 (68.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.80	0.00	2.53	15.19	27.85	50.63	79	4.15 (69.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.06	0.00	1.27	17.72	29.11	46.84	79	4.06 (67.72%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.10 (68.35%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.27	0.00	6.33	22.78	29.11	40.51	79	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.27	1.27	3.80	26.58	27.85	39.24	79	3.96 (66.03%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.27	0.00	5.06	24.05	26.58	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.27	0.00	3.80	26.58	26.58	41.77	79	4.03 (67.09%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	0.00	6.33	22.78	26.58	41.77	79	3.96 (66.03%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CEP1715- Engg. Application of Computers	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.80	3.80	13.92	31.65	16.46	30.38	79	3.44 (57.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	3.80	18.99	32.91	13.92	27.85	79	3.35 (55.91%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.06	3.80	13.92	34.18	15.19	27.85	79	3.34 (55.70%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	2.53	13.92	34.18	15.19	31.65	79	3.52 (58.65%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	3.80	12.66	32.91	13.92	34.18	79	3.54 (59.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GET 1102- Structural Mechanics	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2.53	2.53	11.39	29.11	25.32	29.11	79	3.59 (59.92%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	2.53	3.80	13.92	24.05	24.05	31.65	79	3.58 (59.70%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	2.53	1.27	17.72	25.32	24.05	29.11	79	3.54 (59.07%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	2.53	2.53	10.13	34.18	18.99	31.65	79	3.59 (59.92%)
5. Overall grade	2.53	3.80	11.39	29.11	22.78	30.38	79	3.57 (59.49%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.58 (59.62%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP 1103- Structural Mechanics Lab.	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	13.92	24.05	29.11	29.11	79	3.63 (60.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	15.19	24.05	25.32	31.65	79	3.63 (60.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	2.53	12.66	24.05	25.32	32.91	79	3.66 (60.97%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	1.27	13.92	26.58	25.32	30.38	79	3.62 (60.34%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	2.53	15.19	24.05	24.05	31.65	79	3.59 (59.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	2.53	16.46	31.65	45.57	79	4.10 (68.35%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	2.53	20.25	30.38	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	1.27	1.27	20.25	25.32	49.37	79	4.13 (68.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.80	0.00	2.53	15.19	27.85	50.63	79	4.15 (69.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.06	0.00	1.27	17.72	29.11	46.84	79	4.06 (67.72%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.10 (68.35%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.27	0.00	6.33	22.78	29.11	40.51	79	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.27	1.27	3.80	26.58	27.85	39.24	79	3.96 (66.03%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.27	0.00	5.06	24.05	26.58	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.27	0.00	3.80	26.58	26.58	41.77	79	4.03 (67.09%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	0.00	6.33	22.78	26.58	41.77	79	3.96 (66.03%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CEP1715- Engg. Application of Computers	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.80	3.80	13.92	31.65	16.46	30.38	79	3.44 (57.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	3.80	18.99	32.91	13.92	27.85	79	3.35 (55.91%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.06	3.80	13.92	34.18	15.19	27.85	79	3.34 (55.70%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	2.53	13.92	34.18	15.19	31.65	79	3.52 (58.65%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	3.80	12.66	32.91	13.92	34.18	79	3.54 (59.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GET 1109- Electrical Engineering and Electronics	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	1.27	0.00	5.06	18.99	35.44	39.24	79	4.05 (67.51%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	1.27	0.00	5.06	22.78	27.85	43.04	79	4.05 (67.51%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	1.27	1.27	8.86	24.05	30.38	34.18	79	3.84 (63.92%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	1.27	1.27	5.06	25.32	29.11	37.97	79	3.94 (65.61%)
5. Overall grade	1.27	0.00	5.06	22.78	31.65	39.24	79	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.98 (66.29%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP 1103- Structural Mechanics Lab.	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	13.92	24.05	29.11	29.11	79	3.63 (60.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	15.19	24.05	25.32	31.65	79	3.63 (60.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	2.53	12.66	24.05	25.32	32.91	79	3.66 (60.97%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	1.27	13.92	26.58	25.32	30.38	79	3.62 (60.34%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	2.53	15.19	24.05	24.05	31.65	79	3.59 (59.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	2.53	16.46	31.65	45.57	79	4.10 (68.35%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	2.53	20.25	30.38	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	1.27	1.27	20.25	25.32	49.37	79	4.13 (68.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.80	0.00	2.53	15.19	27.85	50.63	79	4.15 (69.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.06	0.00	1.27	17.72	29.11	46.84	79	4.06 (67.72%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.10 (68.35%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.27	0.00	6.33	22.78	29.11	40.51	79	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.27	1.27	3.80	26.58	27.85	39.24	79	3.96 (66.03%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.27	0.00	5.06	24.05	26.58	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.27	0.00	3.80	26.58	26.58	41.77	79	4.03 (67.09%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	0.00	6.33	22.78	26.58	41.77	79	3.96 (66.03%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CEP1715- Engg. Application of Computers	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.80	3.80	13.92	31.65	16.46	30.38	79	3.44 (57.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	3.80	18.99	32.91	13.92	27.85	79	3.35 (55.91%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.06	3.80	13.92	34.18	15.19	27.85	79	3.34 (55.70%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	2.53	13.92	34.18	15.19	31.65	79	3.52 (58.65%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	3.80	12.66	32.91	13.92	34.18	79	3.54 (59.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GET 1109- Electrical Engineering and Electronics	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	1.27	1.27	7.59	16.46	34.18	39.24	79	3.99 (66.46%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	1.27	1.27	8.86	16.46	31.65	40.51	79	3.97 (66.24%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	1.27	2.53	5.06	21.52	27.85	41.77	79	3.97 (66.24%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	1.27	1.27	8.86	18.99	29.11	40.51	79	3.95 (65.82%)
5. Overall grade	1.27	1.27	3.80	18.99	34.18	40.51	79	4.05 (67.51%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.99 (66.45%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP 1103- Structural Mechanics Lab.	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	13.92	24.05	29.11	29.11	79	3.63 (60.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	15.19	24.05	25.32	31.65	79	3.63 (60.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	2.53	12.66	24.05	25.32	32.91	79	3.66 (60.97%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	1.27	13.92	26.58	25.32	30.38	79	3.62 (60.34%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	2.53	15.19	24.05	24.05	31.65	79	3.59 (59.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	2.53	16.46	31.65	45.57	79	4.10 (68.35%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	2.53	20.25	30.38	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	1.27	1.27	20.25	25.32	49.37	79	4.13 (68.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.80	0.00	2.53	15.19	27.85	50.63	79	4.15 (69.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.06	0.00	1.27	17.72	29.11	46.84	79	4.06 (67.72%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.10 (68.35%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.27	0.00	6.33	22.78	29.11	40.51	79	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.27	1.27	3.80	26.58	27.85	39.24	79	3.96 (66.03%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.27	0.00	5.06	24.05	26.58	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.27	0.00	3.80	26.58	26.58	41.77	79	4.03 (67.09%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	0.00	6.33	22.78	26.58	41.77	79	3.96 (66.03%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CEP1715- Engg. Application of Computers	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.80	3.80	13.92	31.65	16.46	30.38	79	3.44 (57.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	3.80	18.99	32.91	13.92	27.85	79	3.35 (55.91%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.06	3.80	13.92	34.18	15.19	27.85	79	3.34 (55.70%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	2.53	13.92	34.18	15.19	31.65	79	3.52 (58.65%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	3.80	12.66	32.91	13.92	34.18	79	3.54 (59.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CET 1502- Industrial & Engineering Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	1.27	2.53	15.19	36.71	16.46	27.85	79	3.48 (58.02%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	1.27	1.27	20.25	31.65	18.99	26.58	79	3.46 (57.59%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	1.27	3.80	24.05	29.11	15.19	26.58	79	3.33 (55.49%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	1.27	2.53	16.46	35.44	18.99	25.32	79	3.44 (57.38%)
5. Overall grade	1.27	1.27	17.72	36.71	17.72	25.32	79	3.44 (57.38%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.17%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP 1103- Structural Mechanics Lab.	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	13.92	24.05	29.11	29.11	79	3.63 (60.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	15.19	24.05	25.32	31.65	79	3.63 (60.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	2.53	12.66	24.05	25.32	32.91	79	3.66 (60.97%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	1.27	13.92	26.58	25.32	30.38	79	3.62 (60.34%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	2.53	15.19	24.05	24.05	31.65	79	3.59 (59.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.53	1.27	2.53	16.46	31.65	45.57	79	4.10 (68.35%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	1.27	2.53	20.25	30.38	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	2.53	1.27	1.27	20.25	25.32	49.37	79	4.13 (68.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.80	0.00	2.53	15.19	27.85	50.63	79	4.15 (69.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.06	0.00	1.27	17.72	29.11	46.84	79	4.06 (67.72%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.10 (68.35%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : GEP1110- Electrical Engg and Electronics Laboratory	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.27	0.00	6.33	22.78	29.11	40.51	79	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.27	1.27	3.80	26.58	27.85	39.24	79	3.96 (66.03%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.27	0.00	5.06	24.05	26.58	43.04	79	4.04 (67.30%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.27	0.00	3.80	26.58	26.58	41.77	79	4.03 (67.09%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	0.00	6.33	22.78	26.58	41.77	79	3.96 (66.03%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CEP1715- Engg. Application of Computers	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.80	3.80	13.92	31.65	16.46	30.38	79	3.44 (57.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.53	3.80	18.99	32.91	13.92	27.85	79	3.35 (55.91%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.06	3.80	13.92	34.18	15.19	27.85	79	3.34 (55.70%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.53	2.53	13.92	34.18	15.19	31.65	79	3.52 (58.65%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.53	3.80	12.66	32.91	13.92	34.18	79	3.54 (59.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 80							
Subject Name : CET 1502- Industrial & Engineering Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2.53	1.27	5.06	22.78	27.85	40.51	79	3.94 (65.61%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	2.53	1.27	3.80	20.25	30.38	41.77	79	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	3.80	1.27	11.39	22.78	21.52	39.24	79	3.75 (62.45%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	3.80	2.53	6.33	22.78	26.58	37.97	79	3.80 (63.29%)
5. Overall grade	2.53	0.00	7.59	25.32	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.87 (64.56%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 97						
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 82.47 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 97							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 71.13 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 83 / Total No. of Students : 97							
Subject Name : CET1504- CHEMICAL PROJECT ENGG. & ECONOMICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 85.57 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	1.20	2.41	13.25	40.96	42.17	83	4.20 (70.08%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	4.82	12.05	32.53	50.60	83	4.29 (71.49%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	2.41	10.84	9.64	30.12	46.99	83	4.08 (68.07%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	3.61	6.02	7.23	36.14	46.99	83	4.17 (69.48%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	1.20	4.82	13.25	34.94	45.78	83	4.19 (69.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.19 (69.80%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 85							
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.12 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 85							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 81.18 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 85							
Subject Name : CET1505- PROCESS DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.29 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.17	12.35	12.35	34.57	24.69	9.88	81	2.89 (48.15%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	7.41	9.88	12.35	33.33	23.46	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	8.64	13.58	11.11	30.86	23.46	12.35	81	2.84 (47.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	8.64	14.81	17.28	28.40	22.22	8.64	81	2.67 (44.44%)
5. Overall grade	6.17	16.05	9.88	30.86	28.40	8.64	81	2.85 (47.53%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.84 (47.37%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 85						
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.12 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 85							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 81.18 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 85							
Subject Name : CET1505- PROCESS DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.29 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	1.23	11.11	23.46	30.86	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	1.23	6.17	18.52	33.33	40.74	81	4.06 (67.70%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	3.70	6.17	17.28	29.63	43.21	81	4.02 (67.08%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	3.70	11.11	20.99	25.93	38.27	81	3.84 (63.99%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	2.47	8.64	19.75	37.04	32.10	81	3.88 (64.61%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.93 (65.47%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 81						
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.77 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 81							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 85.19 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 81							
Subject Name : HUT1102- PERSPECTIVES OF SOCIETY, SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	8.75	12.50	31.25	22.50	10.00	15.00	80	2.58 (42.92%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.25	13.75	30.00	20.00	15.00	15.00	80	2.69 (44.79%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	7.50	15.00	25.00	20.00	16.25	16.25	80	2.71 (45.21%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	8.75	12.50	28.75	18.75	13.75	17.50	80	2.69 (44.79%)
5. Overall grade	8.75	16.25	23.75	26.25	11.25	13.75	80	2.56 (42.71%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.64 (44.08%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 81						
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.77 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 81							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 85.19 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 81							
Subject Name : HUT1102- PERSPECTIVES OF SOCIETY, SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	1.25	5.00	10.00	28.75	55.00	80	4.31 (71.88%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	2.50	8.75	31.25	57.50	80	4.44 (73.96%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	1.25	1.25	7.50	30.00	60.00	80	4.46 (74.38%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	2.50	10.00	33.75	53.75	80	4.39 (73.12%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	1.25	2.50	10.00	31.25	55.00	80	4.36 (72.71%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.39 (73.21%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 64						
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 125.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 64							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 107.81 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 63 / Total No. of Students : 64							
Subject Name : CET1511- ELECTIVE - III : PLANT UTILITIES - 2018- PLANT UTILITIES (CET1511)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 98.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	3.17	14.29	28.57	23.81	19.05	11.11	63	2.75 (45.77%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3.17	9.52	26.98	33.33	11.11	15.87	63	2.87 (47.88%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.35	11.11	28.57	26.98	14.29	12.70	63	2.70 (44.97%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	3.17	11.11	31.75	22.22	19.05	12.70	63	2.81 (46.83%)
5. Overall grade	3.17	11.11	28.57	33.33	11.11	12.70	63	2.76 (46.03%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.78 (46.30%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 11							
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 727.27 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 11							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 627.27 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 11 / Total No. of Students : 11							
Subject Name : CET1608- ELECTIVE II : BIOPHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING - 2018- BIOPHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING (CET1608)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	9.09	0.00	36.36	18.18	27.27	9.09	11	2.82 (46.97%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	9.09	0.00	18.18	27.27	27.27	18.18	11	3.18 (53.03%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	18.18	9.09	9.09	36.36	18.18	9.09	11	2.55 (42.42%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	18.18	18.18	18.18	9.09	27.27	9.09	11	2.36 (39.39%)
5. Overall grade	9.09	9.09	27.27	27.27	18.18	9.09	11	2.64 (43.94%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.15%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 5						
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 1600.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 1380.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 5 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : PET1712- ELECTIVE - II : TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING (PET1712)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	20.00	20.00	5	3.60 (60.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	20.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	20.00	5	2.80 (46.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	0.00	20.00	5	3.40 (56.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	20.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	0.00	20.00	5	2.80 (46.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	20.00	60.00	0.00	20.00	5	3.20 (53.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.16 (52.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 1						
Subject Name : CEP1717- OPTIMIZATION OF CHEM.ENGG. SYSTEMS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 8000.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	8.75	7.50	20.00	28.75	35.00	80	3.74 (62.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.00	11.25	21.25	26.25	36.25	80	3.78 (62.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	11.25	16.25	32.50	33.75	80	3.76 (62.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.00	7.50	21.25	27.50	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.00	8.75	17.50	23.75	45.00	80	3.95 (65.83%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.74 (62.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 69 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : CEP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 6900.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.45	2.90	18.84	20.29	33.33	23.19	69	3.51 (58.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.45	2.90	18.84	18.84	30.43	27.54	69	3.57 (59.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.45	2.90	14.49	26.09	31.88	23.19	69	3.54 (58.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.45	2.90	15.94	24.64	31.88	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.90	1.45	15.94	23.19	33.33	23.19	69	3.52 (58.70%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : PHT1093- ELECTIVE - II : SPECTROSCOPY - 2018- SPECTROSCOPY (PHT1093)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 35 / Total No. of Students : 79						
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.30 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.71	11.43	17.14	45.71	8.57	11.43	35	2.74 (45.71%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.43	8.57	17.14	40.00	14.29	8.57	35	2.63 (43.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.57	8.57	31.43	34.29	8.57	8.57	35	2.51 (41.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.43	2.86	31.43	34.29	8.57	11.43	35	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.57	11.43	28.57	31.43	8.57	11.43	35	2.54 (42.38%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.74 (45.71%)

	Feedback Given By : 38 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 48.10 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.63	2.63	28.95	44.74	13.16	7.89	38	2.87 (47.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.63	7.89	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.74 (45.61%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.26	5.26	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.71 (45.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.63	5.26	28.95	47.37	7.89	7.89	38	2.76 (46.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.63	5.26	28.95	44.74	10.53	7.89	38	2.79 (46.49%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.87 (47.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1702- PROCESS SIMULATION LAB-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.26	14.47	42.11	30.26	7.89	76	3.21 (53.51%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.95	11.84	43.42	31.58	9.21	76	3.30 (55.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.32	2.63	15.79	46.05	22.37	11.84	76	3.21 (53.51%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.32	11.84	46.05	30.26	10.53	76	3.37 (56.14%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	2.63	13.16	48.68	21.05	14.47	76	3.32 (55.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.21 (53.51%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CET 1102- HEAT TRANSFER	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.89	6.58	22.37	36.84	18.42	7.89	76	2.75 (45.83%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.26	3.95	27.63	31.58	22.37	9.21	76	2.89 (48.25%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	3.95	7.89	15.79	43.42	18.42	10.53	76	2.96 (49.34%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	3.95	7.89	21.05	38.16	21.05	7.89	76	2.88 (48.03%)
5. Overall grade	5.26	6.58	14.47	43.42	22.37	7.89	76	2.95 (49.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.89 (48.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 35 / Total No. of Students : 79						
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.30 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.71	11.43	17.14	45.71	8.57	11.43	35	2.74 (45.71%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.43	8.57	17.14	40.00	14.29	8.57	35	2.63 (43.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.57	8.57	31.43	34.29	8.57	8.57	35	2.51 (41.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.43	2.86	31.43	34.29	8.57	11.43	35	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.57	11.43	28.57	31.43	8.57	11.43	35	2.54 (42.38%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.74 (45.71%)

	Feedback Given By : 38 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 48.10 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.63	2.63	28.95	44.74	13.16	7.89	38	2.87 (47.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.63	7.89	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.74 (45.61%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.26	5.26	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.71 (45.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.63	5.26	28.95	47.37	7.89	7.89	38	2.76 (46.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.63	5.26	28.95	44.74	10.53	7.89	38	2.79 (46.49%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.87 (47.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1702- PROCESS SIMULATION LAB-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.26	14.47	42.11	30.26	7.89	76	3.21 (53.51%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.95	11.84	43.42	31.58	9.21	76	3.30 (55.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.32	2.63	15.79	46.05	22.37	11.84	76	3.21 (53.51%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.32	11.84	46.05	30.26	10.53	76	3.37 (56.14%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	2.63	13.16	48.68	21.05	14.47	76	3.32 (55.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.21 (53.51%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CET1201- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2.63	1.32	13.16	34.21	30.26	18.42	76	3.43 (57.24%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	1.32	2.63	14.47	34.21	23.68	23.68	76	3.47 (57.89%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	1.32	1.32	18.42	39.47	21.05	18.42	76	3.33 (55.48%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	2.63	0.00	18.42	28.95	25.00	25.00	76	3.49 (58.11%)
5. Overall grade	1.32	2.63	14.47	36.84	27.63	17.11	76	3.38 (56.36%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.42 (57.02%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 35 / Total No. of Students : 79						
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.30 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.71	11.43	17.14	45.71	8.57	11.43	35	2.74 (45.71%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.43	8.57	17.14	40.00	14.29	8.57	35	2.63 (43.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.57	8.57	31.43	34.29	8.57	8.57	35	2.51 (41.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.43	2.86	31.43	34.29	8.57	11.43	35	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.57	11.43	28.57	31.43	8.57	11.43	35	2.54 (42.38%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.74 (45.71%)

	Feedback Given By : 38 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 48.10 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.63	2.63	28.95	44.74	13.16	7.89	38	2.87 (47.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.63	7.89	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.74 (45.61%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.26	5.26	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.71 (45.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.63	5.26	28.95	47.37	7.89	7.89	38	2.76 (46.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.63	5.26	28.95	44.74	10.53	7.89	38	2.79 (46.49%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.87 (47.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1702- PROCESS SIMULATION LAB-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.26	14.47	42.11	30.26	7.89	76	3.21 (53.51%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.95	11.84	43.42	31.58	9.21	76	3.30 (55.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.32	2.63	15.79	46.05	22.37	11.84	76	3.21 (53.51%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.32	11.84	46.05	30.26	10.53	76	3.37 (56.14%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	2.63	13.16	48.68	21.05	14.47	76	3.32 (55.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.21 (53.51%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CET 1402- SEPARATION PROCESSES	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.26	1.32	10.53	30.26	31.58	21.05	76	3.45 (57.46%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.26	2.63	11.84	35.53	27.63	17.11	76	3.29 (54.82%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.26	3.95	10.53	28.95	27.63	23.68	76	3.41 (56.80%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	6.58	5.26	10.53	31.58	26.32	19.74	76	3.25 (54.17%)
5. Overall grade	5.26	2.63	10.53	35.53	27.63	18.42	76	3.33 (55.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.34 (55.75%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 35 / Total No. of Students : 79						
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.30 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.71	11.43	17.14	45.71	8.57	11.43	35	2.74 (45.71%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.43	8.57	17.14	40.00	14.29	8.57	35	2.63 (43.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.57	8.57	31.43	34.29	8.57	8.57	35	2.51 (41.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.43	2.86	31.43	34.29	8.57	11.43	35	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.57	11.43	28.57	31.43	8.57	11.43	35	2.54 (42.38%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.74 (45.71%)

	Feedback Given By : 38 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 48.10 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.63	2.63	28.95	44.74	13.16	7.89	38	2.87 (47.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.63	7.89	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.74 (45.61%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.26	5.26	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.71 (45.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.63	5.26	28.95	47.37	7.89	7.89	38	2.76 (46.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.63	5.26	28.95	44.74	10.53	7.89	38	2.79 (46.49%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.87 (47.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1702- PROCESS SIMULATION LAB-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.26	14.47	42.11	30.26	7.89	76	3.21 (53.51%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.95	11.84	43.42	31.58	9.21	76	3.30 (55.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.32	2.63	15.79	46.05	22.37	11.84	76	3.21 (53.51%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.32	11.84	46.05	30.26	10.53	76	3.37 (56.14%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	2.63	13.16	48.68	21.05	14.47	76	3.32 (55.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.21 (53.51%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CET 1202- BIOCHEMICAL ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	13.16	15.79	34.21	22.37	11.84	2.63	76	2.12 (35.31%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	9.21	11.84	38.16	27.63	7.89	5.26	76	2.29 (38.16%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	10.53	19.74	27.63	26.32	10.53	5.26	76	2.22 (37.06%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	17.11	15.79	28.95	27.63	7.89	2.63	76	2.01 (33.55%)
5. Overall grade	10.53	17.11	35.53	23.68	10.53	2.63	76	2.14 (35.75%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.16 (35.97%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 35 / Total No. of Students : 79						
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.30 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.71	11.43	17.14	45.71	8.57	11.43	35	2.74 (45.71%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.43	8.57	17.14	40.00	14.29	8.57	35	2.63 (43.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.57	8.57	31.43	34.29	8.57	8.57	35	2.51 (41.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.43	2.86	31.43	34.29	8.57	11.43	35	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.57	11.43	28.57	31.43	8.57	11.43	35	2.54 (42.38%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.74 (45.71%)

	Feedback Given By : 38 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1704- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - II - Batch B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 48.10 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.63	2.63	28.95	44.74	13.16	7.89	38	2.87 (47.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.63	7.89	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.74 (45.61%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.26	5.26	28.95	42.11	10.53	7.89	38	2.71 (45.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	2.63	5.26	28.95	47.37	7.89	7.89	38	2.76 (46.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.63	5.26	28.95	44.74	10.53	7.89	38	2.79 (46.49%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.87 (47.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CEP 1702- PROCESS SIMULATION LAB-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.26	14.47	42.11	30.26	7.89	76	3.21 (53.51%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.95	11.84	43.42	31.58	9.21	76	3.30 (55.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.32	2.63	15.79	46.05	22.37	11.84	76	3.21 (53.51%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.32	11.84	46.05	30.26	10.53	76	3.37 (56.14%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	2.63	13.16	48.68	21.05	14.47	76	3.32 (55.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.21 (53.51%)

	Feedback Given By : 76 / Total No. of Students : 79							
Subject Name : CET 1716- MATHEMATICAL METHODS IN CHEMICAL ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.20 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	1.32	6.58	26.32	28.95	36.84	76	3.93 (65.57%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	1.32	5.26	25.00	30.26	38.16	76	3.99 (66.45%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	3.95	9.21	28.95	30.26	27.63	76	3.68 (61.40%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	2.63	7.89	28.95	26.32	34.21	76	3.82 (63.60%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	2.63	6.58	26.32	32.89	31.58	76	3.84 (64.04%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.85 (64.21%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHT1131- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2.47	3.70	19.75	34.57	17.28	22.22	81	3.27 (54.53%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	22.22	29.63	81	3.68 (61.32%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	1.23	2.47	16.05	28.40	25.93	25.93	81	3.53 (58.85%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	2.47	1.23	14.81	33.33	19.75	28.40	81	3.52 (58.64%)
5. Overall grade	1.23	2.47	11.11	38.27	22.22	24.69	81	3.52 (58.64%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.40%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHT1131- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	1.23	1.23	28.40	29.63	19.75	19.75	81	3.25 (54.12%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	1.23	1.23	25.93	32.10	19.75	19.75	81	3.27 (54.53%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	1.23	1.23	25.93	33.33	17.28	20.99	81	3.27 (54.53%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	1.23	1.23	25.93	29.63	23.46	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
5. Overall grade	1.23	1.23	27.16	29.63	18.52	22.22	81	3.30 (54.94%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.57%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHT1343- PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.17	6.17	13.58	28.40	28.40	17.28	81	3.19 (53.09%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3.70	6.17	18.52	27.16	28.40	16.05	81	3.19 (53.09%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	9.88	8.64	16.05	27.16	22.22	16.05	81	2.91 (48.56%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	7.41	4.94	25.93	23.46	19.75	18.52	81	2.99 (49.79%)
5. Overall grade	6.17	4.94	17.28	30.86	22.22	18.52	81	3.14 (52.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.08 (51.36%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : MAT 1101- APPLIED MATHEMATICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.41	9.88	28.40	28.40	14.81	11.11	81	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	11.11	9.88	20.99	32.10	13.58	12.35	81	2.64 (44.03%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	25.93	12.35	28.40	24.69	3.70	4.94	81	1.83 (30.45%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	14.81	9.88	32.10	24.69	9.88	8.64	81	2.31 (38.48%)
5. Overall grade	8.64	16.05	28.40	30.86	11.11	4.94	81	2.35 (39.09%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.36 (39.30%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : MAT 1101- APPLIED MATHEMATICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	10.13	7.59	17.72	25.32	20.25	18.99	79	2.95 (49.16%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	10.13	10.13	24.05	20.25	17.72	17.72	79	2.78 (46.41%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	7.59	7.59	24.05	21.52	24.05	15.19	79	2.92 (48.73%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	6.33	11.39	21.52	22.78	17.72	20.25	79	2.95 (49.16%)
5. Overall grade	5.06	5.06	26.58	27.85	20.25	15.19	79	2.99 (49.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.65%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

		Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84						
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 0 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : MAT 1101- APPLIED MATHEMATICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 0.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								0.00 (0.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYT 1101- APPLIED PHYSICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	3.70	16.05	32.10	24.69	23.46	81	3.48 (58.02%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3.70	7.41	20.99	27.16	20.99	19.75	81	3.14 (52.26%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.17	4.94	17.28	20.99	23.46	27.16	81	3.32 (55.35%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	3.70	6.17	19.75	22.22	24.69	23.46	81	3.28 (54.73%)
5. Overall grade	1.23	6.17	18.52	20.99	29.63	23.46	81	3.42 (57.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.33 (55.47%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYT 1101- APPLIED PHYSICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	1.23	2.47	9.88	24.69	27.16	34.57	81	3.78 (62.96%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	6.17	23.46	32.10	38.27	81	4.02 (67.08%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	6.17	22.22	35.80	35.80	81	4.01 (66.87%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	8.64	23.46	28.40	39.51	81	3.99 (66.46%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	3.70	27.16	35.80	33.33	81	3.99 (66.46%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.96 (65.97%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYT 1101- APPLIED PHYSICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	2.47	4.94	19.75	45.68	27.16	81	3.90 (65.02%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	4.94	24.69	34.57	35.80	81	4.01 (66.87%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	2.47	3.70	20.99	35.80	37.04	81	4.01 (66.87%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	2.47	7.41	20.99	35.80	33.33	81	3.90 (65.02%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	1.23	7.41	20.99	33.33	37.04	81	3.98 (66.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.96 (66.01%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.47	8.64	19.75	32.10	24.69	12.35	81	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	4.94	2.47	23.46	32.10	23.46	13.58	81	3.07 (51.23%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.70	13.58	23.46	29.63	16.05	13.58	81	2.81 (46.91%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.64	3.70	22.22	27.16	24.69	13.58	81	2.96 (49.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.70	6.17	19.75	32.10	24.69	13.58	81	3.09 (51.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.82%)

	Feedback Given By : 80 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : GEP 1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.24 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	31.25	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.25	5.00	25.00	30.00	38.75	80	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.25	2.50	7.50	23.75	26.25	38.75	80	3.88 (64.58%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.25	27.50	41.25	80	4.05 (67.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.25	3.75	25.00	32.50	37.50	80	4.01 (66.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.01 (66.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	2.47	11.11	24.69	32.10	29.63	81	3.75 (62.55%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	3.70	9.88	25.93	30.86	28.40	81	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	8.64	27.16	29.63	33.33	81	3.84 (63.99%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	1.23	6.17	25.93	29.63	35.80	81	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.47	1.23	9.88	19.75	37.04	29.63	81	3.77 (62.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.55%)

	Feedback Given By : 79 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYP1102- PHYSICS LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.05 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	15.19	20.25	25.32	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	1.27	10.13	26.58	22.78	39.24	79	3.89 (64.77%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	12.66	25.32	24.05	37.97	79	3.87 (64.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.27	10.13	27.85	21.52	39.24	79	3.87 (64.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	12.66	22.78	25.32	39.24	79	3.91 (65.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	27.16	34.57	19.75	17.28	81	3.23 (53.91%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.23	0.00	27.16	29.63	22.22	19.75	81	3.31 (55.14%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.23	0.00	29.63	28.40	22.22	18.52	81	3.26 (54.32%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.23	0.00	25.93	33.33	20.99	18.52	81	3.28 (54.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.23 (53.91%)

	Feedback Given By : 81 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : CHP1132- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.23	0.00	8.64	39.51	29.63	20.99	81	3.59 (59.88%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.23	1.23	7.41	38.27	27.16	24.69	81	3.63 (60.49%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	1.23	4.94	38.27	28.40	27.16	81	3.75 (62.55%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.23	2.47	40.74	27.16	28.40	81	3.79 (63.17%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.23	7.41	39.51	27.16	24.69	81	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.88%)

	Feedback Given By : 0 / Total No. of Students : 84							
Subject Name : PYT 1101- APPLIED PHYSICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 0.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								0.00 (0.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32						
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.33	36.67	33.33	26.67	30	3.83 (63.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	6.67	30.00	36.67	23.33	30	3.70 (61.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	0.00	23.33	30.00	43.33	30	4.10 (68.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	0.00	10.00	30.00	30.00	26.67	30	3.63 (60.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.33	0.00	6.67	30.00	33.33	26.67	30	3.70 (61.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.83 (63.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	3.33	30.00	30.00	26.67	10.00	30	3.10 (51.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	26.67	36.67	20.00	13.33	30	3.13 (52.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	26.67	33.33	23.33	13.33	30	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	3.33	23.33	40.00	26.67	6.67	30	3.10 (51.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	3.33	30.00	36.67	23.33	6.67	30	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.10 (51.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CEP 1801- PHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.33	6.67	30.00	40.00	16.67	3.33	30	2.70 (45.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3.33	6.67	33.33	40.00	10.00	6.67	30	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.33	10.00	30.00	36.67	16.67	3.33	30	2.63 (43.89%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	3.33	26.67	46.67	10.00	10.00	30	2.87 (47.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	16.67	46.67	20.00	3.33	30	2.77 (46.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.70 (45.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CHT 1101- INORGANIC CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	6.67	3.33	36.67	36.67	16.67	30	3.53 (58.89%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	3.33	23.33	46.67	26.67	30	3.97 (66.11%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	3.33	10.00	30.00	36.67	20.00	30	3.60 (60.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	3.33	3.33	33.33	46.67	13.33	30	3.63 (60.56%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	3.33	3.33	33.33	50.00	10.00	30	3.60 (60.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.67 (61.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32						
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.33	36.67	33.33	26.67	30	3.83 (63.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	6.67	30.00	36.67	23.33	30	3.70 (61.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	0.00	23.33	30.00	43.33	30	4.10 (68.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	0.00	10.00	30.00	30.00	26.67	30	3.63 (60.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.33	0.00	6.67	30.00	33.33	26.67	30	3.70 (61.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.83 (63.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	3.33	30.00	30.00	26.67	10.00	30	3.10 (51.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	26.67	36.67	20.00	13.33	30	3.13 (52.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	26.67	33.33	23.33	13.33	30	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	3.33	23.33	40.00	26.67	6.67	30	3.10 (51.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	3.33	30.00	36.67	23.33	6.67	30	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.10 (51.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CEP 1801- PHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.33	6.67	30.00	40.00	16.67	3.33	30	2.70 (45.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3.33	6.67	33.33	40.00	10.00	6.67	30	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.33	10.00	30.00	36.67	16.67	3.33	30	2.63 (43.89%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	3.33	26.67	46.67	10.00	10.00	30	2.87 (47.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	16.67	46.67	20.00	3.33	30	2.77 (46.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.70 (45.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CHT 1105- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	6.67	23.33	43.33	26.67	30	3.90 (65.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3.33	0.00	3.33	26.67	33.33	33.33	30	3.87 (64.44%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	3.33	3.33	3.33	33.33	30.00	26.67	30	3.63 (60.56%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	6.67	6.67	36.67	30.00	20.00	30	3.50 (58.33%)
5. Overall grade	3.33	0.00	6.67	33.33	36.67	20.00	30	3.60 (60.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.70 (61.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32						
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.33	36.67	33.33	26.67	30	3.83 (63.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	6.67	30.00	36.67	23.33	30	3.70 (61.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	0.00	23.33	30.00	43.33	30	4.10 (68.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	0.00	10.00	30.00	30.00	26.67	30	3.63 (60.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.33	0.00	6.67	30.00	33.33	26.67	30	3.70 (61.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.83 (63.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	3.33	30.00	30.00	26.67	10.00	30	3.10 (51.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	26.67	36.67	20.00	13.33	30	3.13 (52.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	26.67	33.33	23.33	13.33	30	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	3.33	23.33	40.00	26.67	6.67	30	3.10 (51.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	3.33	30.00	36.67	23.33	6.67	30	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.10 (51.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CEP 1801- PHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.33	6.67	30.00	40.00	16.67	3.33	30	2.70 (45.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3.33	6.67	33.33	40.00	10.00	6.67	30	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.33	10.00	30.00	36.67	16.67	3.33	30	2.63 (43.89%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	3.33	26.67	46.67	10.00	10.00	30	2.87 (47.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	16.67	46.67	20.00	3.33	30	2.77 (46.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.70 (45.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : PHT 1112- PHARMACEUTICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.00	3.33	30.00	56.67	30	4.33 (72.22%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	6.67	6.67	23.33	63.33	30	4.43 (73.89%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	26.67	53.33	30	4.23 (70.56%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	6.67	13.33	23.33	56.67	30	4.30 (71.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	6.67	10.00	26.67	56.67	30	4.33 (72.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.33 (72.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32						
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.33	36.67	33.33	26.67	30	3.83 (63.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	6.67	30.00	36.67	23.33	30	3.70 (61.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	0.00	23.33	30.00	43.33	30	4.10 (68.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	0.00	10.00	30.00	30.00	26.67	30	3.63 (60.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.33	0.00	6.67	30.00	33.33	26.67	30	3.70 (61.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.83 (63.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	3.33	30.00	30.00	26.67	10.00	30	3.10 (51.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	26.67	36.67	20.00	13.33	30	3.13 (52.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	26.67	33.33	23.33	13.33	30	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	3.33	23.33	40.00	26.67	6.67	30	3.10 (51.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	3.33	30.00	36.67	23.33	6.67	30	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.10 (51.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CEP 1801- PHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.33	6.67	30.00	40.00	16.67	3.33	30	2.70 (45.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3.33	6.67	33.33	40.00	10.00	6.67	30	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.33	10.00	30.00	36.67	16.67	3.33	30	2.63 (43.89%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	3.33	26.67	46.67	10.00	10.00	30	2.87 (47.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	16.67	46.67	20.00	3.33	30	2.77 (46.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.70 (45.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : MAT 1201- MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	6.67	10.00	40.00	43.33	30	4.20 (70.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	33.33	53.33	30	4.40 (73.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	6.67	10.00	40.00	43.33	30	4.20 (70.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	46.67	36.67	30	4.20 (70.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	3.33	20.00	40.00	36.67	30	4.10 (68.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.22 (70.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32						
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.33	36.67	33.33	26.67	30	3.83 (63.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	6.67	30.00	36.67	23.33	30	3.70 (61.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	0.00	23.33	30.00	43.33	30	4.10 (68.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	0.00	10.00	30.00	30.00	26.67	30	3.63 (60.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.33	0.00	6.67	30.00	33.33	26.67	30	3.70 (61.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.83 (63.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	3.33	30.00	30.00	26.67	10.00	30	3.10 (51.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	26.67	36.67	20.00	13.33	30	3.13 (52.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	26.67	33.33	23.33	13.33	30	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	3.33	23.33	40.00	26.67	6.67	30	3.10 (51.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	3.33	30.00	36.67	23.33	6.67	30	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.10 (51.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CEP 1801- PHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.33	6.67	30.00	40.00	16.67	3.33	30	2.70 (45.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3.33	6.67	33.33	40.00	10.00	6.67	30	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.33	10.00	30.00	36.67	16.67	3.33	30	2.63 (43.89%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	3.33	26.67	46.67	10.00	10.00	30	2.87 (47.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	16.67	46.67	20.00	3.33	30	2.77 (46.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.70 (45.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CET 1803- PHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	3.33	6.67	23.33	26.67	40.00	30	3.93 (65.56%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	3.33	13.33	20.00	50.00	13.33	30	3.57 (59.44%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.67	0.00	30.00	33.33	23.33	6.67	30	2.87 (47.78%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	3.33	0.00	16.67	33.33	43.33	3.33	30	3.23 (53.89%)
5. Overall grade	3.33	0.00	10.00	40.00	40.00	6.67	30	3.33 (55.56%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.39 (56.45%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32						
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.33	36.67	33.33	26.67	30	3.83 (63.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	6.67	30.00	36.67	23.33	30	3.70 (61.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	0.00	23.33	30.00	43.33	30	4.10 (68.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	0.00	10.00	30.00	30.00	26.67	30	3.63 (60.56%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	3.33	0.00	6.67	30.00	33.33	26.67	30	3.70 (61.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.83 (63.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CHP 1101- ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	3.33	30.00	30.00	26.67	10.00	30	3.10 (51.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	3.33	26.67	36.67	20.00	13.33	30	3.13 (52.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	3.33	26.67	33.33	23.33	13.33	30	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	3.33	23.33	40.00	26.67	6.67	30	3.10 (51.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	3.33	30.00	36.67	23.33	6.67	30	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.10 (51.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : CEP 1801- PHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	3.33	6.67	30.00	40.00	16.67	3.33	30	2.70 (45.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3.33	6.67	33.33	40.00	10.00	6.67	30	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	3.33	10.00	30.00	36.67	16.67	3.33	30	2.63 (43.89%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	3.33	3.33	26.67	46.67	10.00	10.00	30	2.87 (47.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	16.67	46.67	20.00	3.33	30	2.77 (46.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.70 (45.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 30 / Total No. of Students : 32							
Subject Name : HUT 1102- COMMUNICATION SKILLS & PSYCHOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	6.67	26.67	36.67	30.00	30	3.90 (65.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	40.00	33.33	30	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	6.67	26.67	43.33	23.33	30	3.83 (63.89%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	6.67	30.00	43.33	20.00	30	3.77 (62.78%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	3.33	23.33	50.00	23.33	30	3.93 (65.56%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.78%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1112- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	19.05	21	1.29 (21.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	9.52	9.52	21	1.14 (19.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	4.76	14.29	21	1.19 (19.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.24 (20.63%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1204- ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY & PHATHOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	9.52	9.52	21	1.05 (17.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	0.00	21	0.95 (15.87%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	4.76	9.52	21	1.00 (16.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.05 (17.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BSP 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	4.76	14.29	21	1.14 (19.05%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	4.76	9.52	21	1.10 (18.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	21	1.33 (22.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	0.00	19.05	21	1.24 (20.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.14 (19.05%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHT 1406- PHARMACEUTICAL ORGANIC CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	9.52	23.81	19.05	47.62	21	4.05 (67.46%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	28.57	19.05	19.05	33.33	21	3.57 (59.52%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	4.76	28.57	19.05	47.62	21	4.10 (68.25%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	9.52	23.81	28.57	38.10	21	3.95 (65.87%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	14.29	42.86	21	3.86 (64.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.90 (65.08%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1112- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	19.05	21	1.29 (21.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	9.52	9.52	21	1.14 (19.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	4.76	14.29	21	1.19 (19.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.24 (20.63%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1204- ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY & PHATHOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	9.52	9.52	21	1.05 (17.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	0.00	21	0.95 (15.87%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	4.76	9.52	21	1.00 (16.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.05 (17.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BSP 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	4.76	14.29	21	1.14 (19.05%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	4.76	9.52	21	1.10 (18.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	21	1.33 (22.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	0.00	19.05	21	1.24 (20.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.14 (19.05%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHT 1114- PHARMACEUTICS III	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	4.76	9.52	19.05	23.81	42.86	21	3.90 (65.08%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	4.76	28.57	23.81	42.86	21	4.05 (67.46%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	14.29	19.05	28.57	38.10	21	3.90 (65.08%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	4.76	4.76	23.81	19.05	47.62	21	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	33.33	38.10	21	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.97 (66.19%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1112- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	19.05	21	1.29 (21.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	9.52	9.52	21	1.14 (19.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	4.76	14.29	21	1.19 (19.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.24 (20.63%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1204- ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY & PHATHOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	9.52	9.52	21	1.05 (17.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	0.00	21	0.95 (15.87%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	4.76	9.52	21	1.00 (16.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.05 (17.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BSP 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	4.76	14.29	21	1.14 (19.05%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	4.76	9.52	21	1.10 (18.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	21	1.33 (22.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	0.00	19.05	21	1.24 (20.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.14 (19.05%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHT 1208- ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY & PATHOLOGY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	9.52	9.52	19.05	28.57	33.33	21	3.67 (61.11%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	9.52	14.29	19.05	19.05	38.10	21	3.62 (60.32%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4.76	9.52	19.05	9.52	28.57	28.57	21	3.33 (55.56%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	4.76	9.52	23.81	28.57	33.33	21	3.76 (62.70%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	9.52	14.29	14.29	38.10	23.81	21	3.52 (58.73%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.58 (59.68%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1112- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	19.05	21	1.29 (21.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	9.52	9.52	21	1.14 (19.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	4.76	14.29	21	1.19 (19.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.24 (20.63%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1204- ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY & PHATHOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	9.52	9.52	21	1.05 (17.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	0.00	21	0.95 (15.87%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	4.76	9.52	21	1.00 (16.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.05 (17.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BSP 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	4.76	14.29	21	1.14 (19.05%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	4.76	9.52	21	1.10 (18.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	21	1.33 (22.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	0.00	19.05	21	1.24 (20.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.14 (19.05%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHT 1305- PHARMACEUTICAL ANALYSIS II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	4.76	9.52	9.52	33.33	42.86	21	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	4.76	23.81	19.05	52.38	21	4.19 (69.84%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	9.52	14.29	28.57	47.62	21	4.14 (69.05%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	33.33	42.86	21	4.14 (69.05%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	9.52	14.29	19.05	57.14	21	4.24 (70.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.14 (69.05%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1112- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	19.05	21	1.29 (21.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	9.52	9.52	21	1.14 (19.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	4.76	14.29	21	1.19 (19.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.24 (20.63%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1204- ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY & PHATHOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	9.52	9.52	21	1.05 (17.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	0.00	21	0.95 (15.87%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	4.76	9.52	21	1.00 (16.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.05 (17.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BSP 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	4.76	14.29	21	1.14 (19.05%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	4.76	9.52	21	1.10 (18.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	21	1.33 (22.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	0.00	19.05	21	1.24 (20.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.14 (19.05%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BST 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	23.81	52.38	21	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	4.76	14.29	9.52	33.33	38.10	21	3.86 (64.29%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	9.52	14.29	9.52	19.05	47.62	21	3.81 (63.49%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	4.76	14.29	14.29	23.81	42.86	21	3.86 (64.29%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	4.76	14.29	14.29	19.05	47.62	21	3.90 (65.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.76%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1112- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	19.05	21	1.29 (21.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	9.52	9.52	21	1.14 (19.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	4.76	14.29	21	1.19 (19.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.24 (20.63%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1204- ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY & PHATHOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	9.52	9.52	21	1.05 (17.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	0.00	21	0.95 (15.87%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	4.76	9.52	21	1.00 (16.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.05 (17.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BSP 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	4.76	14.29	21	1.14 (19.05%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	4.76	9.52	21	1.10 (18.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	21	1.33 (22.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	0.00	19.05	21	1.24 (20.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.14 (19.05%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BST 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	19.05	57.14	21	4.29 (71.43%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	9.52	14.29	42.86	33.33	21	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	4.76	14.29	14.29	66.67	21	4.43 (73.81%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	28.57	42.86	21	4.05 (67.46%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	4.76	19.05	23.81	52.38	21	4.24 (70.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.20 (70.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1112- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	19.05	21	1.29 (21.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	9.52	9.52	21	1.14 (19.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	4.76	14.29	21	1.19 (19.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.24 (20.63%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : PHP 1204- ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY & PHATHOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	9.52	9.52	21	1.05 (17.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.76	19.05	0.00	21	0.95 (15.87%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	9.52	4.76	21	0.95 (15.87%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	4.76	0.00	9.52	4.76	9.52	21	1.00 (16.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.05 (17.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : BSP 1302- BIOCHEMISTRY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	4.76	4.76	4.76	14.29	21	1.14 (19.05%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	4.76	9.52	21	1.10 (18.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	9.52	14.29	21	1.24 (20.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	21	1.33 (22.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.52	0.00	19.05	21	1.24 (20.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.14 (19.05%)

	Feedback Given By : 21 / Total No. of Students : 26							
Subject Name : HUT 1102- SOCIOLOGY & ETHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 80.77 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	9.52	61.90	21	4.19 (69.84%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	14.29	57.14	21	4.19 (69.84%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	14.29	9.52	9.52	66.67	21	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.05	4.76	66.67	21	4.29 (71.43%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	9.52	14.29	9.52	66.67	21	4.33 (72.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.26 (70.95%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHT 1408- PHARMACEUTICAL & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY-II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	64.29	14	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	14	4.57 (76.19%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	42.86	50.00	14	4.43 (73.81%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	64.29	14	4.57 (76.19%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHT 1116- BIOPHARMACEUTICS AND PHARMACOKINETICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	7.14	0.00	42.86	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	50.00	14	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	71.43	14	4.64 (77.38%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	14	4.14 (69.05%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	21.43	57.14	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.31 (71.91%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHT 1117- COSMETICOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	50.00	7.14	21.43	7.14	0.00	14.29	14	1.43 (23.81%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	42.86	14.29	21.43	7.14	0.00	14.29	14	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	50.00	7.14	21.43	7.14	0.00	14.29	14	1.43 (23.81%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	50.00	7.14	14.29	7.14	0.00	21.43	14	1.64 (27.38%)
5. Overall grade	57.14	0.00	14.29	7.14	0.00	21.43	14	1.57 (26.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.51 (25.24%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 0 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHT 1117- COSMETICOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 0.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00 (0.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								0.00 (0.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHT 1210- PHARMACOLOGY-II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	78.57	14	4.79 (79.76%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	14	4.64 (77.38%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	14	4.64 (77.38%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	14	4.64 (77.38%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	14	4.57 (76.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.66 (77.62%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BST 1203- MOLECULAR BIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	28.57	35.71	14	3.86 (64.29%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	42.86	28.57	14	3.93 (65.48%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	21.43	35.71	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	21.43	35.71	14	3.71 (61.90%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	35.71	28.57	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.81 (63.57%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BST 1203- MOLECULAR BIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	7.14	0.00	50.00	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	42.86	50.00	14	4.43 (73.81%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	50.00	42.86	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	14	4.50 (75.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	14	4.57 (76.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHT 1118- FORENSIC PHARMACY AND DRUG STORE MANAGEMENT	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	7.14	57.14	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	7.14	42.86	14	3.79 (63.10%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	28.57	14	3.50 (58.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	21.43	42.86	14	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	28.57	14	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.62%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHP 1403- PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	57.14	14	4.36 (72.62%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	50.00	14	4.36 (72.62%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PHP 1114- COSMETICOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	14.29	7.14	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	50.00	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	7.14	7.14	14.29	57.14	14	3.79 (63.10%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	14	3.79 (63.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.10%)

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : BSP 1203- MICROBIOLOGY & BIOTECHNOLOGY LABORATORY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	57.14	14	4.43 (73.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	57.14	35.71	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	42.86	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.81%)

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PHT 1118- FORENSIC PHARMACY AND DRUG STORE MANAGEMENT		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	14	4.57 (76.19%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	14	4.64 (77.38%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	14	4.57 (76.19%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	64.29	14	4.64 (77.38%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	14	4.57 (76.19%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.60 (76.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHT1410- PHARMACEUTICAL & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	21.43	28.57	28	3.64 (60.71%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	17.86	32.14	28	3.68 (61.31%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	14.29	39.29	17.86	28.57	28	3.61 (60.12%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	14.29	32.14	21.43	32.14	28	3.71 (61.90%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	14.29	39.29	17.86	28.57	28	3.61 (60.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.65 (60.83%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHT1410- PHARMACEUTICAL & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	35.71	35.71	28	4.04 (67.26%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	25.00	39.29	28	3.96 (66.07%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	3.57	0.00	28.57	39.29	28.57	28	3.89 (64.88%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	3.57	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	35.71	28	3.89 (64.88%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	3.57	0.00	28.57	35.71	32.14	28	3.93 (65.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.94 (65.71%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHT1120- PHARMACEUTICS - V	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	39.29	28.57	28	3.93 (65.48%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	32.14	35.71	28	3.96 (66.07%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.03 (67.14%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHT1212- PHARMACOLOGY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	25.00	14.29	14.29	32.14	10.71	3.57	28	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	28.57	17.86	17.86	21.43	10.71	3.57	28	1.79 (29.76%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	35.71	10.71	10.71	35.71	3.57	3.57	28	1.71 (28.57%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	32.14	14.29	17.86	25.00	7.14	3.57	28	1.71 (28.57%)
5. Overall grade	28.57	17.86	14.29	28.57	7.14	3.57	28	1.79 (29.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.80 (30.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHT1505- PHARMACOGNOSY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	3.57	32.14	21.43	21.43	21.43	28	3.25 (54.17%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	3.57	21.43	25.00	28.57	21.43	28	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	7.14	17.86	25.00	21.43	28.57	28	3.46 (57.74%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	25.00	25.00	28	3.46 (57.74%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	10.71	10.71	28.57	21.43	28.57	28	3.46 (57.74%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.41 (56.91%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : HUT1202- PHARMACEUTICAL MANAGEMENT	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	21.43	50.00	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	17.86	60.71	28	4.39 (73.21%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	14.29	64.29	28	4.43 (73.81%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	17.86	60.71	28	4.39 (73.21%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	17.86	60.71	28	4.39 (73.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.36 (72.62%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 28							
Subject Name : PHT1213- CLINICAL PHARMACY AND DRUG INTERACTIONS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.14	10.71	39.29	32.14	7.14	3.57	28	2.32 (38.69%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	10.71	17.86	21.43	39.29	7.14	3.57	28	2.25 (37.50%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	17.86	28.57	21.43	21.43	3.57	7.14	28	1.86 (30.95%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	7.14	17.86	35.71	25.00	10.71	3.57	28	2.25 (37.50%)
5. Overall grade	7.14	17.86	35.71	32.14	3.57	3.57	28	2.18 (36.31%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.19%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 6						
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 466.67 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 6							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 466.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 6							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 466.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 6							
Subject Name : PHT1093- ELECTIVE - II : SPECTROSCOPY - 2018- SPECTROSCOPY (PHT1093)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	50.00	16.67	6	3.83 (63.89%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	16.67	50.00	16.67	16.67	6	3.33 (55.56%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67	6	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.57 (59.44%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 22							
Subject Name : PHP1116- PHARMACEUTICS LABORATORY - IV	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 127.27 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	3.57	17.86	35.71	42.86	28	4.18 (69.64%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	25.00	46.43	28	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	25.00	46.43	28	4.18 (69.64%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	35.71	39.29	28	4.14 (69.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	28	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.18 (69.64%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 22							
Subject Name : PHP1206- PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 127.27 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	7.14	28	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	10.71	7.14	17.86	42.86	14.29	7.14	28	2.64 (44.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	7.14	17.86	39.29	21.43	7.14	28	2.82 (47.02%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	14.29	10.71	46.43	14.29	7.14	28	2.68 (44.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	3.57	17.86	42.86	21.43	7.14	28	2.89 (48.21%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 28 / Total No. of Students : 22							
Subject Name : PHP1505- PHARMACOGNOSY LABORATORY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 127.27 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	32.14	39.29	28	4.11 (68.45%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	32.14	39.29	28	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	3.57	28.57	25.00	42.86	28	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	3.57	25.00	28.57	42.86	28	4.11 (68.45%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	7.14	25.00	21.43	46.43	28	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.45%)

	Feedback Given By : 22 / Total No. of Students : 22							
Subject Name : FDT1051- ELECTIVE - II : NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS - 2018_NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS (FDT1051)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	4.55	13.64	40.91	22.73	18.18	22	3.36 (56.06%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	4.55	0.00	13.64	40.91	18.18	22.73	22	3.36 (56.06%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4.55	0.00	22.73	27.27	27.27	18.18	22	3.27 (54.55%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	4.55	22.73	31.82	18.18	22.73	22	3.32 (55.30%)
5. Overall grade	4.55	0.00	18.18	40.91	18.18	18.18	22	3.23 (53.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.31 (55.15%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : DYP1001- ANALYSIS OF INORGANIC RAW MATERIALS USED IN DYESTUFF INDUSTRIES		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	33.33	27.78	18	3.72 (62.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	16.67	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	18	2.94 (49.07%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	27.78	22.22	16.67	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	18	3.06 (50.93%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	27.78	5.56	44.44	11.11	18	3.11 (51.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	44.44	27.78	18	3.56 (59.26%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	11.11	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	18	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.26%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	5.56	16.67	18	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.11	22.22	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	18	2.28 (37.96%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.56 (42.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	11.11	18	2.44 (40.74%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	16.67	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : DYT1101- Technology of Intermediates-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	5.56	5.56	33.33	16.67	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	16.67	27.78	11.11	44.44	18	3.83 (63.89%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	5.56	11.11	33.33	16.67	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	11.11	11.11	22.22	22.22	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	5.56	38.89	18	3.61 (60.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.68 (61.30%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : DYP1001- ANALYSIS OF INORGANIC RAW MATERIALS USED IN DYESTUFF INDUSTRIES		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	33.33	27.78	18	3.72 (62.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	16.67	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	18	2.94 (49.07%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	27.78	22.22	16.67	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	18	3.06 (50.93%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	27.78	5.56	44.44	11.11	18	3.11 (51.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	44.44	27.78	18	3.56 (59.26%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	11.11	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	18	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.26%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	5.56	16.67	18	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.11	22.22	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	18	2.28 (37.96%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.56 (42.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	11.11	18	2.44 (40.74%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	16.67	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : DYT1101- Technology of Intermediates-I		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.56	0.00	5.56	0.00	22.22	66.67	18	4.33 (72.22%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.56	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	72.22	18	4.39 (73.15%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.56	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	66.67	18	4.39 (73.15%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.56	0.00	0.00	5.56	16.67	72.22	18	4.44 (74.07%)
5. Overall grade	5.56	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	61.11	18	4.28 (71.30%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.37 (72.78%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : DYP1001- ANALYSIS OF INORGANIC RAW MATERIALS USED IN DYESTUFF INDUSTRIES		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	33.33	27.78	18	3.72 (62.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	16.67	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	18	2.94 (49.07%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	27.78	22.22	16.67	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	18	3.06 (50.93%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	27.78	5.56	44.44	11.11	18	3.11 (51.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	44.44	27.78	18	3.56 (59.26%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	11.11	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	18	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.26%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	5.56	16.67	18	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.11	22.22	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	18	2.28 (37.96%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.56 (42.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	11.11	18	2.44 (40.74%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	16.67	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLT1102- Chemistry of Oleochemicals and Surfactants - 2018- Chemistry of Oleochemicals and Surfactants [OLT1102]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	27.78	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	5.56	18	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	33.33	5.56	33.33	11.11	11.11	5.56	18	1.78 (29.63%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	33.33	5.56	11.11	33.33	11.11	5.56	18	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	50.00	0.00	22.22	11.11	11.11	5.56	18	1.50 (25.00%)
5. Overall grade	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	18	1.89 (31.48%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.77 (29.44%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 20						
Subject Name : DYP1001- ANALYSIS OF INORGANIC RAW MATERIALS USED IN DYESTUFF INDUSTRIES		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	33.33	27.78	18	3.72 (62.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	16.67	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	18	2.94 (49.07%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	27.78	22.22	16.67	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	18	3.06 (50.93%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	27.78	5.56	44.44	11.11	18	3.11 (51.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	44.44	27.78	18	3.56 (59.26%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	11.11	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	18	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.26%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	5.56	16.67	18	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.11	22.22	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	18	2.28 (37.96%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.56 (42.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	11.11	18	2.44 (40.74%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	16.67	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : DYT1202- Chemical and Physical Constitution of Colourants	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.53	5.26	42.11	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	47.37	19	4.32 (71.93%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.53	36.84	52.63	19	4.42 (73.68%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.79	31.58	52.63	19	4.37 (72.81%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	5.26	10.53	36.84	47.37	19	4.26 (71.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.31 (71.75%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : DYP1001- ANALYSIS OF INORGANIC RAW MATERIALS USED IN DYESTUFF INDUSTRIES		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	33.33	27.78	18	3.72 (62.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	16.67	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	18	2.94 (49.07%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	27.78	22.22	16.67	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	18	3.06 (50.93%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	27.78	5.56	44.44	11.11	18	3.11 (51.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	44.44	27.78	18	3.56 (59.26%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	11.11	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	18	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.26%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	5.56	16.67	18	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.11	22.22	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	18	2.28 (37.96%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.56 (42.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	11.11	18	2.44 (40.74%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	16.67	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CHT1124- INDUSTRIAL INORGANIC CHEMISTRY - 2018- Industrial Inorganic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	11.11	0.00	11.11	16.67	33.33	27.78	18	3.44 (57.41%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	11.11	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	27.78	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. Overall grade	5.56	0.00	16.67	11.11	27.78	38.89	18	3.72 (62.04%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.67 (61.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : DYP1001- ANALYSIS OF INORGANIC RAW MATERIALS USED IN DYESTUFF INDUSTRIES		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	33.33	27.78	18	3.72 (62.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	16.67	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	18	2.94 (49.07%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	27.78	22.22	16.67	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	18	3.06 (50.93%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	27.78	5.56	44.44	11.11	18	3.11 (51.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	44.44	27.78	18	3.56 (59.26%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	11.11	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	18	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.26%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	5.56	16.67	18	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.11	22.22	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	18	2.28 (37.96%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.56 (42.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	11.11	18	2.44 (40.74%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	16.67	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CHT1124- INDUSTRIAL INORGANIC CHEMISTRY - 2018- Industrial Inorganic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.56	0.00	0.00	16.67	5.56	72.22	18	4.33 (72.22%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.56	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	83.33	18	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.56	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	77.78	18	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.56	0.00	0.00	5.56	16.67	72.22	18	4.44 (74.07%)
5. Overall grade	5.56	0.00	0.00	5.56	16.67	72.22	18	4.44 (74.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.44 (74.07%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : DYP1001- ANALYSIS OF INORGANIC RAW MATERIALS USED IN DYESTUFF INDUSTRIES		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	33.33	27.78	18	3.72 (62.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	16.67	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	18	2.94 (49.07%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	27.78	22.22	16.67	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	18	3.06 (50.93%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	27.78	5.56	44.44	11.11	18	3.11 (51.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	44.44	27.78	18	3.56 (59.26%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	11.11	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	18	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.26%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	5.56	16.67	18	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.11	22.22	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	18	2.28 (37.96%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.56 (42.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	11.11	18	2.44 (40.74%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	16.67	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CHT1136- Aromatic And Heteroaromatic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.56	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	66.67	18	4.39 (73.15%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	5.56	0.00	0.00	27.78	66.67	18	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	61.11	18	4.39 (73.15%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	66.67	18	4.44 (74.07%)
5. Overall grade	5.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	66.67	18	4.44 (74.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.43 (73.89%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : DYP1001- ANALYSIS OF INORGANIC RAW MATERIALS USED IN DYESTUFF INDUSTRIES		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	61.11	27.78	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	44.44	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	33.33	27.78	18	3.72 (62.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	16.67	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	18	2.94 (49.07%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	27.78	22.22	16.67	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	22.22	16.67	22.22	22.22	18	3.06 (50.93%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	27.78	5.56	44.44	11.11	18	3.11 (51.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	44.44	27.78	18	3.56 (59.26%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	11.11	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	18	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	11.11	5.56	5.56	38.89	33.33	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	18	3.56 (59.26%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.26%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	5.56	16.67	18	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	11.11	22.22	27.78	16.67	11.11	11.11	18	2.28 (37.96%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	11.11	22.22	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.56 (42.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.11	11.11	33.33	22.22	11.11	11.11	18	2.44 (40.74%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	16.67	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CHT1136- Aromatic And Heteroaromatic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.56	0.00	11.11	5.56	27.78	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.56	0.00	11.11	0.00	22.22	61.11	18	4.17 (69.44%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.56	0.00	11.11	5.56	27.78	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.56	5.56	5.56	5.56	22.22	55.56	18	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	5.56	0.00	11.11	5.56	22.22	55.56	18	4.06 (67.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.04 (67.41%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : DYP1002- ANALYSIS OF INTEERMEDIATES DYES AND FIBERS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.56 (59.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	56.25	12.50	16	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	25.00	16	3.69 (61.46%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.62 (60.42%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	37.50	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1003- EXPERIMENTAL DYEING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	0.00	0.00	31.25	25.00	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	37.50	16	3.94 (65.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	43.75	31.25	16	4.06 (67.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	25.00	16	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	31.25	43.75	16	4.19 (69.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.81 (63.54%)

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : CET1401- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.50	12.50	56.25	16	3.88 (64.58%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.25	0.00	0.00	12.50	31.25	50.00	16	4.12 (68.75%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	6.25	25.00	25.00	43.75	16	4.06 (67.71%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	6.25	18.75	18.75	56.25	16	4.25 (70.83%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	6.25	18.75	25.00	50.00	16	4.19 (69.79%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.10 (68.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : DYP1002- ANALYSIS OF INTEERMEDIATES DYES AND FIBERS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.56 (59.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	56.25	12.50	16	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	25.00	16	3.69 (61.46%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.62 (60.42%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	37.50	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1003- EXPERIMENTAL DYEING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	0.00	0.00	31.25	25.00	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	37.50	16	3.94 (65.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	43.75	31.25	16	4.06 (67.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	25.00	16	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	31.25	43.75	16	4.19 (69.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.81 (63.54%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CET1212- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING - 2018- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.25	0.00	6.25	56.25	12.50	18.75	16	3.25 (54.17%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.25	0.00	6.25	50.00	25.00	12.50	16	3.25 (54.17%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	12.50	0.00	50.00	18.75	18.75	16	3.31 (55.21%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	6.25	12.50	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
5. Overall grade	6.25	0.00	6.25	37.50	31.25	18.75	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.32 (55.42%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : DYP1002- ANALYSIS OF INTEERMEDIATES DYES AND FIBERS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.56 (59.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	56.25	12.50	16	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	25.00	16	3.69 (61.46%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.62 (60.42%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	37.50	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1003- EXPERIMENTAL DYEING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	0.00	0.00	31.25	25.00	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	37.50	16	3.94 (65.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	43.75	31.25	16	4.06 (67.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	25.00	16	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	31.25	43.75	16	4.19 (69.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.81 (63.54%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYT1103- TECHNOLOGY OF AZO COLORANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.25	50.00	37.50	16	4.19 (69.79%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	31.25	50.00	16	4.31 (71.88%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	56.25	31.25	16	4.19 (69.79%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	43.75	43.75	16	4.31 (71.88%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	31.25	50.00	16	4.31 (71.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.26 (71.04%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : DYP1002- ANALYSIS OF INTEERMEDIATES DYES AND FIBERS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.56 (59.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	56.25	12.50	16	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	25.00	16	3.69 (61.46%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.62 (60.42%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	37.50	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1003- EXPERIMENTAL DYEING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	0.00	0.00	31.25	25.00	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	37.50	16	3.94 (65.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	43.75	31.25	16	4.06 (67.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	25.00	16	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	31.25	43.75	16	4.19 (69.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.81 (63.54%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYT1103- TECHNOLOGY OF AZO COLORANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	16	4.75 (79.17%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.25	0.00	87.50	16	4.69 (78.12%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.25	87.50	16	4.81 (80.21%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	87.50	16	4.75 (79.17%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.25	0.00	87.50	16	4.69 (78.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.74 (78.96%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : DYP1002- ANALYSIS OF INTEERMEDIATES DYES AND FIBERS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.56 (59.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	56.25	12.50	16	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	25.00	16	3.69 (61.46%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.62 (60.42%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	37.50	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1003- EXPERIMENTAL DYEING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	0.00	0.00	31.25	25.00	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	37.50	16	3.94 (65.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	43.75	31.25	16	4.06 (67.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	25.00	16	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	31.25	43.75	16	4.19 (69.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.81 (63.54%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYT1104- TECHNOLOGY OF QUINONOID COLORANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	56.25	18.75	16	3.94 (65.62%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	6.25	0.00	25.00	31.25	37.50	16	3.94 (65.62%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	43.75	16	4.12 (68.75%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	37.50	16	3.94 (65.62%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	6.25	31.25	18.75	43.75	16	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.99 (66.46%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : DYP1002- ANALYSIS OF INTEERMEDIATES DYES AND FIBERS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.56 (59.38%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	56.25	12.50	16	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	25.00	16	3.69 (61.46%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	0.00	12.50	12.50	43.75	25.00	16	3.62 (60.42%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	0.00	12.50	6.25	37.50	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.38%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1003- EXPERIMENTAL DYEING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	0.00	0.00	31.25	25.00	37.50	16	3.81 (63.54%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	37.50	16	3.94 (65.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	43.75	31.25	16	4.06 (67.71%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	25.00	16	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	31.25	43.75	16	4.19 (69.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.81 (63.54%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : TXT1215- TECHNOLOGY OF DYEING AND PRINTING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.25	0.00	37.50	18.75	18.75	18.75	16	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.25	6.25	31.25	25.00	18.75	12.50	16	2.81 (46.88%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.25	6.25	37.50	12.50	18.75	18.75	16	2.88 (47.92%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	6.25	0.00	31.25	25.00	18.75	18.75	16	3.06 (51.04%)
5. Overall grade	6.25	0.00	31.25	25.00	18.75	18.75	16	3.06 (51.04%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.96 (49.38%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 53.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 13.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 13.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CET1703- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL - 2018- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL (CET1703)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	35.71	42.86	14	4.21 (70.24%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	42.86	35.71	14	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	35.71	35.71	14	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	42.86	35.71	14	4.14 (69.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.11 (68.57%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 20						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 30.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 10.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 10.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 70.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : DYT1105- TECHNOLOGY OF CATIONIC & SULFUR COLORANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 70.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	35.71	42.86	14	4.21 (70.24%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	28.57	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	21.43	57.14	14	4.29 (71.43%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	28.57	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.43	28.57	50.00	14	4.29 (71.43%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.27 (71.19%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 53.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 13.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 13.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYT1206- STRUCTURAL ELUCIDATION OF ORGANIC COMPOUNDS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	35.71	21.43	14	3.64 (60.71%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	42.86	14.29	14	3.57 (59.52%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	21.43	28.57	35.71	14.29	14	3.43 (57.14%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	21.43	21.43	42.86	14.29	14	3.50 (58.33%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	35.71	14.29	14	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.53 (58.81%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 50.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 37.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 12.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 12.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 87.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : HUT1105- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II - 2018- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II [HUT1105]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 87.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.14	0.00	7.14	42.86	21.43	21.43	14	3.36 (55.95%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	7.14	35.71	35.71	21.43	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	35.71	21.43	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	7.14	42.86	28.57	21.43	14	3.64 (60.71%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	7.14	35.71	35.71	21.43	14	3.71 (61.90%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.64 (60.71%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 53.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 13.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 13.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : MAT1106- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS - 2018- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS [MAT1106]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	14.29	14	3.71 (61.90%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	7.14	21.43	57.14	14.29	14	3.79 (63.10%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	64.29	14.29	14	3.86 (64.29%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	7.14	7.14	71.43	14.29	14	3.93 (65.48%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	7.14	14.29	64.29	14.29	14	3.86 (64.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.83 (63.81%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 5						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 160.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 120.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 280.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 5 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : DYT1721- ELECTIVE - II : REACTION MECHANISM AND REAGENT CHEMISTRY - 2018-REACTION MECHANISM AND REAGENT CHEMISTRY (DYT1721)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	5	3.80 (63.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	5	3.80 (63.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	5	3.80 (63.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	5	3.80 (63.33%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	5	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.84 (64.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 5						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 160.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 120.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 280.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 5 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : DYT1721- ELECTIVE - II : REACTION MECHANISM AND REAGENT CHEMISTRY - 2018-REACTION MECHANISM AND REAGENT CHEMISTRY (DYT1721)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	5	3.80 (63.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	5	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	5	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	5	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	60.00	5	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.96 (66.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 2						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 300.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 700.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : CET1511- ELECTIVE - III : PLANT UTILITIES - 2018- PLANT UTILITIES (CET1511)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 4						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 150.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 50.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 50.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 350.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 4 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : PET1712- ELECTIVE - II : TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING (PET1712)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	4	4.25 (70.83%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	4	4.25 (70.83%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	4	4.25 (70.83%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	4	4.25 (70.83%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	4	4.25 (70.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.25 (70.83%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 2						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 300.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 700.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : OLT1114- ELECTIVE - II : BY PRODUCTS UTILIZATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT - 2018- BY PRODUCTS UTILIZATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT (OLT1114)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 2						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.12 (35.42%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	8	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.25 (37.50%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	8	2.38 (39.58%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 300.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	50.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.33 (22.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1006- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1007- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	2	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : DYP1012- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 700.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	0.00	7.14	7.14	28.57	50.00	14	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	14	4.07 (67.86%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : TXT1901- ELECTIVE - II : TEXTILE PROCESS HOUSE MANAGEMENT - 2018- TEXTILE PROCESS HOUSE MANAGEMENT (TXT1901)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 50.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	1	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	1	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	1	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	1	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	1	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : FDP1014- Pr 1: Biochemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	31.58	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.26	10.53	21.05	21.05	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	26.32	42.11	19	4.05 (67.54%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.16 (69.30%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : FDP1013- Pr 2: Microbiology	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.56	5.56	27.78	16.67	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	16.67	50.00	18	4.06 (67.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	5.56	5.56	22.22	5.56	50.00	18	3.56 (59.26%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	11.11	50.00	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.56	0.00	33.33	11.11	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : FDT1011- Chemistry of Food Constituents	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	5.26	21.05	26.32	47.37	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.26	10.53	36.84	47.37	19	4.26 (71.05%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.26	5.26	5.26	10.53	15.79	57.89	19	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.26	10.53	15.79	15.79	52.63	19	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	52.63	19	4.21 (70.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.13 (68.77%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : FDP1014- Pr 1: Biochemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	31.58	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.26	10.53	21.05	21.05	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	26.32	42.11	19	4.05 (67.54%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.16 (69.30%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : FDP1013- Pr 2: Microbiology	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.56	5.56	27.78	16.67	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	16.67	50.00	18	4.06 (67.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	5.56	5.56	22.22	5.56	50.00	18	3.56 (59.26%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	11.11	50.00	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.56	0.00	33.33	11.11	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 20							
Subject Name : FDT1011- Chemistry of Food Constituents	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	5.26	26.32	21.05	15.79	31.58	19	3.42 (57.02%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	5.26	26.32	15.79	21.05	31.58	19	3.47 (57.89%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	10.53	5.26	21.05	21.05	21.05	21.05	19	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.26	26.32	31.58	10.53	26.32	19	3.26 (54.39%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	15.79	15.79	26.32	10.53	31.58	19	3.26 (54.39%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.28 (54.74%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1014- Pr 1: Biochemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	31.58	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.26	10.53	21.05	21.05	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	26.32	42.11	19	4.05 (67.54%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.16 (69.30%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1013- Pr 2: Microbiology	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.56	5.56	27.78	16.67	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	16.67	50.00	18	4.06 (67.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	5.56	5.56	22.22	5.56	50.00	18	3.56 (59.26%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	11.11	50.00	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.56	0.00	33.33	11.11	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDT1015- Spl 2: Nutrition	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	5.26	21.05	26.32	47.37	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.26	10.53	15.79	68.42	19	4.47 (74.56%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	5.26	0.00	10.53	26.32	57.89	19	4.32 (71.93%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	15.79	57.89	19	4.32 (71.93%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	15.79	57.89	19	4.32 (71.93%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.32 (71.93%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1014- Pr 1: Biochemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	31.58	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.26	10.53	21.05	21.05	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	26.32	42.11	19	4.05 (67.54%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.16 (69.30%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1013- Pr 2: Microbiology	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.56	5.56	27.78	16.67	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	16.67	50.00	18	4.06 (67.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	5.56	5.56	22.22	5.56	50.00	18	3.56 (59.26%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	11.11	50.00	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.56	0.00	33.33	11.11	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDT1015- Spl 2: Nutrition	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.53	10.53	31.58	47.37	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	10.53	10.53	21.05	57.89	19	4.26 (71.05%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.26	5.26	5.26	15.79	21.05	47.37	19	3.84 (64.04%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.26	0.00	15.79	5.26	15.79	57.89	19	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	15.79	15.79	15.79	52.63	19	4.05 (67.54%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.06 (67.72%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1014- Pr 1: Biochemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	31.58	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.26	10.53	21.05	21.05	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	26.32	42.11	19	4.05 (67.54%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.16 (69.30%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1013- Pr 2: Microbiology	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.56	5.56	27.78	16.67	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	16.67	50.00	18	4.06 (67.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	5.56	5.56	22.22	5.56	50.00	18	3.56 (59.26%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	11.11	50.00	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.56	0.00	33.33	11.11	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDT1030- Introduction to Food Systems	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	15.79	10.53	10.53	21.05	10.53	31.58	19	2.95 (49.12%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	21.05	0.00	5.26	15.79	21.05	36.84	19	3.26 (54.39%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	15.79	10.53	0.00	15.79	10.53	47.37	19	3.37 (56.14%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	21.05	5.26	5.26	15.79	15.79	36.84	19	3.11 (51.75%)
5. Overall grade	15.79	5.26	5.26	26.32	10.53	36.84	19	3.21 (53.51%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.18 (52.98%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1014- Pr 1: Biochemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	31.58	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.26	10.53	21.05	21.05	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	26.32	42.11	19	4.05 (67.54%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.16 (69.30%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1013- Pr 2: Microbiology	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.56	5.56	27.78	16.67	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	16.67	50.00	18	4.06 (67.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	5.56	5.56	22.22	5.56	50.00	18	3.56 (59.26%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	11.11	50.00	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.56	0.00	33.33	11.11	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDT1030- Introduction to Food Systems	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.53	36.84	21.05	31.58	19	3.74 (62.28%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	15.79	10.53	31.58	42.11	19	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	10.53	15.79	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.26	15.79	10.53	26.32	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	10.53	15.79	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.92 (65.27%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1014- Pr 1: Biochemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	31.58	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.26	10.53	21.05	21.05	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	26.32	42.11	19	4.05 (67.54%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.16 (69.30%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1013- Pr 2: Microbiology	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.56	5.56	27.78	16.67	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	16.67	50.00	18	4.06 (67.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	5.56	5.56	22.22	5.56	50.00	18	3.56 (59.26%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	11.11	50.00	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.56	0.00	33.33	11.11	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : BST1102- BIOCHEMISTRY - 2018- BIOCHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.58	15.79	52.63	19	4.21 (70.18%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.26	21.05	73.68	19	4.68 (78.07%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.26	21.05	73.68	19	4.68 (78.07%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.53	21.05	68.42	19	4.58 (76.32%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.53	26.32	63.16	19	4.53 (75.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.54 (75.62%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1014- Pr 1: Biochemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	31.58	42.11	19	4.16 (69.30%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	5.26	10.53	21.05	21.05	42.11	19	3.84 (64.04%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	10.53	10.53	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	26.32	42.11	19	4.05 (67.54%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.26	0.00	21.05	36.84	36.84	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.16 (69.30%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : FDP1013- Pr 2: Microbiology	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.56	5.56	27.78	16.67	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	16.67	50.00	18	4.06 (67.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.11	5.56	5.56	22.22	5.56	50.00	18	3.56 (59.26%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	11.11	0.00	27.78	11.11	50.00	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.56	0.00	33.33	11.11	50.00	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : BST1101- MICROBIOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.53	15.79	26.32	47.37	19	4.11 (68.42%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.26	15.79	15.79	63.16	19	4.37 (72.81%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	5.26	5.26	21.05	15.79	52.63	19	4.05 (67.54%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	5.26	15.79	26.32	52.63	19	4.26 (71.05%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	5.26	26.32	15.79	52.63	19	4.16 (69.30%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.19 (69.82%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1011- TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.36 (55.95%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86	7.14	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	42.86	7.14	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1015- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	28.57	21.43	28.57	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	7.14	14.29	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.07 (51.19%)

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CET1401- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	21.43	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	7.14	7.14	21.43	35.71	28.57	14	3.71 (61.90%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	28.57	21.43	14	3.43 (57.14%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	14.29	14.29	14.29	35.71	21.43	14	3.36 (55.95%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	7.14	14.29	21.43	35.71	21.43	14	3.50 (58.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.44 (57.38%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1011- TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.36 (55.95%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86	7.14	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	42.86	7.14	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1015- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	28.57	21.43	28.57	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	7.14	14.29	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.07 (51.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CET1212- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING - 2018- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	28.57	14.29	14	3.29 (54.76%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	35.71	14.29	35.71	14.29	14	3.29 (54.76%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	28.57	14.29	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	7.14	28.57	7.14	42.86	14.29	14	3.29 (54.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.26 (54.28%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1011- TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.36 (55.95%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86	7.14	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	42.86	7.14	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1015- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	28.57	21.43	28.57	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	7.14	14.29	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.07 (51.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDT1013- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	7.14	14.29	35.71	21.43	21.43	14	3.36 (55.95%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	28.57	21.43	14	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	28.57	21.43	14	3.43 (57.14%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	28.57	21.43	14	3.43 (57.14%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	7.14	7.14	35.71	28.57	21.43	14	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1011- TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.36 (55.95%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86	7.14	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	42.86	7.14	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1015- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	28.57	21.43	28.57	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	7.14	14.29	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.07 (51.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDT1013- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	57.14	7.14	14	3.71 (61.90%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	7.14	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	7.14	14	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	7.14	0.00	35.71	50.00	7.14	14	3.50 (58.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	50.00	14.29	14	3.71 (61.90%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	7.14	28.57	57.14	7.14	14	3.64 (60.71%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.61 (60.23%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1011- TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.36 (55.95%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86	7.14	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	42.86	7.14	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1015- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	28.57	21.43	28.57	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	7.14	14.29	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.07 (51.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDT1012- FOOD ADDITIVES AND INGREDIENTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.36 (55.95%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	7.14	14.29	7.14	50.00	21.43	14	3.64 (60.71%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	7.14	14.29	7.14	50.00	21.43	14	3.64 (60.71%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	7.14	14.29	21.43	35.71	21.43	14	3.50 (58.33%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	7.14	14.29	14.29	35.71	28.57	14	3.64 (60.71%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.56 (59.28%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1011- TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.36 (55.95%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86	7.14	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	42.86	7.14	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1015- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	28.57	21.43	28.57	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	7.14	14.29	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.07 (51.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDT1012- FOOD ADDITIVES AND INGREDIENTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	42.86	14	4.14 (69.05%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	42.86	28.57	14	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	35.71	35.71	14	4.07 (67.86%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.71	35.71	28.57	14	3.93 (65.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.04 (67.38%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1011- TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.36 (55.95%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86	7.14	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	42.86	7.14	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1015- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	28.57	21.43	28.57	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	7.14	14.29	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.07 (51.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDT1022- FOOD ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.14	0.00	7.14	35.71	28.57	21.43	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	7.14	0.00	7.14	42.86	21.43	21.43	14	3.36 (55.95%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	7.14	0.00	7.14	21.43	42.86	21.43	14	3.57 (59.52%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	7.14	0.00	14.29	28.57	28.57	21.43	14	3.36 (55.95%)
5. Overall grade	7.14	0.00	7.14	28.57	35.71	21.43	14	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.44 (57.38%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1011- TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	42.86	7.14	14	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	14.29	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.36 (55.95%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	42.86	7.14	14	3.29 (54.76%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	7.14	21.43	21.43	42.86	7.14	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1015- FOOD CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	7.14	7.14	21.43	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.14	0.00	28.57	21.43	28.57	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	7.14	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.14 (52.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.14	7.14	14.29	21.43	28.57	21.43	14	3.21 (53.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.07 (51.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDT1022- FOOD ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	7.14	50.00	28.57	14.29	14	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	7.14	14.29	28.57	21.43	28.57	14	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	35.71	28.57	14	3.79 (63.10%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	28.57	28.57	21.43	21.43	14	3.36 (55.95%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	35.71	14.29	14	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.53 (58.81%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 46.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 13.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 106.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 106.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CET1703- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL - 2018- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL (CET1703)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	26.67	20.00	46.67	6.67	15	3.33 (55.56%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	6.67	20.00	13.33	53.33	6.67	15	3.33 (55.56%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	13.33	20.00	13.33	46.67	6.67	15	3.13 (52.22%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	13.33	26.67	0.00	53.33	6.67	15	3.13 (52.22%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	33.33	13.33	40.00	13.33	15	3.33 (55.56%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.25 (54.22%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 56.25 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 43.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 12.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDT1025- TECHNOLOGY OF DAIRY,ANIMAL AND PLANTATION PRODUCTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	6.25	18.75	56.25	18.75	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	31.25	43.75	25.00	0.00	16	2.94 (48.96%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	25.00	50.00	18.75	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	6.25	25.00	43.75	18.75	6.25	16	2.94 (48.96%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	18.75	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.99 (49.79%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 56.25 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 43.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 12.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDT1025- TECHNOLOGY OF DAIRY,ANIMAL AND PLANTATION PRODUCTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	18.75	31.25	31.25	18.75	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	12.50	12.50	25.00	31.25	18.75	16	3.31 (55.21%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	18.75	25.00	31.25	25.00	16	3.62 (60.42%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	12.50	18.75	6.25	37.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.48 (57.92%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 56.25 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 43.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 12.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDT1025- TECHNOLOGY OF DAIRY,ANIMAL AND PLANTATION PRODUCTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	6.25	25.00	43.75	25.00	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	6.25	25.00	37.50	25.00	6.25	16	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	31.25	43.75	18.75	6.25	16	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	31.25	31.25	31.25	6.25	16	3.12 (52.08%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	31.25	37.50	25.00	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.01 (50.21%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 46.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 13.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 106.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 106.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : FDT1023- TECHNOLOGY OF CEREALS,LEGUMES AND OILSEEDS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 106.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.25	0.00	37.50	50.00	6.25	0.00	16	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.25	0.00	31.25	43.75	18.75	0.00	16	2.69 (44.79%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.25	6.25	25.00	56.25	6.25	0.00	16	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	6.25	12.50	31.25	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
5. Overall grade	6.25	0.00	37.50	50.00	6.25	0.00	16	2.50 (41.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.51 (41.88%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 56.25 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 43.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 12.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : HUT1105- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II - 2018- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II [HUT1105]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.25	0.00	37.50	18.75	37.50	0.00	16	2.81 (46.88%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.25	6.25	18.75	43.75	25.00	0.00	16	2.75 (45.83%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.25	12.50	18.75	25.00	37.50	0.00	16	2.75 (45.83%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	6.25	6.25	31.25	18.75	37.50	0.00	16	2.75 (45.83%)
5. Overall grade	6.25	6.25	25.00	31.25	31.25	0.00	16	2.75 (45.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.76 (46.04%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 56.25 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 43.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 12.50 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAT1106- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS - 2018- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS [MAT1106]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	18.75	18.75	56.25	6.25	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	6.25	31.25	50.00	12.50	16	3.69 (61.46%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	43.75	18.75	16	3.69 (61.46%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	43.75	18.75	16	3.69 (61.46%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	12.50	18.75	56.25	12.50	16	3.69 (61.46%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.65 (60.83%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 4						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 225.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 175.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 50.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 4 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : FDT1051- ELECTIVE - II : NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS - 2018_NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS (FDT1051)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.25 (54.17%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.25 (54.17%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.25 (54.17%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	4	2.75 (45.83%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.10 (51.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 4						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 225.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 175.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 50.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 4 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : FDT1051- ELECTIVE - II : NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS - 2018_NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS (FDT1051)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.25 (54.17%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.00 (50.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	4	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.05 (50.83%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 10						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 70.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 20.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 160.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 160.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : PET1712- ELECTIVE - II : TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING (PET1712)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	10.00	10	3.20 (53.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	20.00	10	3.30 (55.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	20.00	10	3.30 (55.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	20.00	10	3.30 (55.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	10.00	10	3.20 (53.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.26 (54.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 1						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 900.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	33.33	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.67 (27.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	44.44	11.11	22.22	11.11	0.00	11.11	9	1.44 (24.07%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	22.22	11.11	11.11	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	11.11	0.00	44.44	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.11	33.33	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.22 (37.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.67 (27.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 700.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	14.29	42.86	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.29 (38.10%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	42.86	57.14	0.00	0.00	7	2.57 (42.86%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	7	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.57 (42.86%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : FDP1022- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : FDP1024- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 1600.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	18.75	25.00	16	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	18.75	43.75	12.50	25.00	16	3.44 (57.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	12.50	31.25	16	3.56 (59.38%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	25.00	37.50	6.25	31.25	16	3.44 (57.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : FDP1023- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 1600.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	31.25	18.75	25.00	16	3.38 (56.25%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	12.50	25.00	25.00	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	12.50	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.19 (53.12%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	18.75	31.25	12.50	25.00	16	3.12 (52.08%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.38 (56.25%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : OLT1114- ELECTIVE - II : BY PRODUCTS UTILIZATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT - 2018- BY PRODUCTS UTILIZATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT (OLT1114)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	2	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	2	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.80 (63.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : OLP1201- ANALYSIS OF OILSEEDS, OILS AND RAW MATERIALS OF OILS AND SOAP INDUSTRY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.12 (52.08%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	43.75	31.25	0.00	16	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	18.75	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	6.25	56.25	25.00	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.12 (52.08%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	12.50	12.50	43.75	18.75	6.25	16	2.75 (45.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	12.50	50.00	12.50	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	12.50	6.25	0.00	50.00	25.00	6.25	16	2.88 (47.92%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	12.50	31.25	25.00	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	12.50	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.75 (45.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	18.75	6.25	62.50	0.00	6.25	16	2.50 (41.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	12.50	18.75	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	6.25	6.25	16	2.44 (40.62%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.62 (43.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	37.50	43.75	0.00	0.00	16	2.19 (36.46%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	18.75	25.00	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.31 (38.54%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	31.25	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	31.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : OLT1101- CHEMISTRY OF OILS AND FATTY ACIDS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	37.50	50.00	16	4.38 (72.92%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.75	37.50	43.75	16	4.25 (70.83%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	18.75	56.25	16	4.31 (71.88%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	31.25	43.75	16	4.19 (69.79%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	43.75	43.75	16	4.31 (71.88%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.29 (71.46%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : OLP1201- ANALYSIS OF OILSEEDS, OILS AND RAW MATERIALS OF OILS AND SOAP INDUSTRY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.12 (52.08%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	43.75	31.25	0.00	16	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	18.75	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	6.25	56.25	25.00	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.12 (52.08%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	12.50	12.50	43.75	18.75	6.25	16	2.75 (45.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	12.50	50.00	12.50	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	12.50	6.25	0.00	50.00	25.00	6.25	16	2.88 (47.92%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	12.50	31.25	25.00	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	12.50	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.75 (45.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	18.75	6.25	62.50	0.00	6.25	16	2.50 (41.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	12.50	18.75	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	6.25	6.25	16	2.44 (40.62%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.62 (43.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	37.50	43.75	0.00	0.00	16	2.19 (36.46%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	18.75	25.00	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.31 (38.54%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	31.25	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	31.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : OLT1102- Chemistry of Oleochemicals and Surfactants - 2018- Chemistry of Oleochemicals and Surfactants [OLT1102]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.25	18.75	43.75	25.00	6.25	0.00	16	2.06 (34.38%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	12.50	12.50	31.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	16	2.19 (36.46%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.25	0.00	31.25	31.25	18.75	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	12.50	6.25	37.50	25.00	12.50	6.25	16	2.38 (39.58%)
5. Overall grade	12.50	12.50	31.25	25.00	18.75	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.36 (39.38%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : OLP1201- ANALYSIS OF OILSEEDS, OILS AND RAW MATERIALS OF OILS AND SOAP INDUSTRY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.12 (52.08%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	43.75	31.25	0.00	16	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	18.75	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	6.25	56.25	25.00	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.12 (52.08%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	12.50	12.50	43.75	18.75	6.25	16	2.75 (45.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	12.50	50.00	12.50	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	12.50	6.25	0.00	50.00	25.00	6.25	16	2.88 (47.92%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	12.50	31.25	25.00	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	12.50	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.75 (45.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	18.75	6.25	62.50	0.00	6.25	16	2.50 (41.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	12.50	18.75	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	6.25	6.25	16	2.44 (40.62%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.62 (43.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	37.50	43.75	0.00	0.00	16	2.19 (36.46%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	18.75	25.00	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.31 (38.54%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	31.25	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	31.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : BST1109- Microbiology - 2018- Microbiology [BST1109]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	18.75	68.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.94 (48.96%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	31.25	50.00	18.75	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	6.25	56.25	25.00	12.50	0.00	16	2.44 (40.62%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	43.75	43.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.69 (44.79%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	43.75	43.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.69 (44.79%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.72 (45.42%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : OLP1201- ANALYSIS OF OILSEEDS, OILS AND RAW MATERIALS OF OILS AND SOAP INDUSTRY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.12 (52.08%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	43.75	31.25	0.00	16	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	18.75	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	6.25	56.25	25.00	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.12 (52.08%)

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	12.50	12.50	43.75	18.75	6.25	16	2.75 (45.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	12.50	50.00	12.50	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	12.50	6.25	0.00	50.00	25.00	6.25	16	2.88 (47.92%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	12.50	31.25	25.00	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	12.50	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.75 (45.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	18.75	6.25	62.50	0.00	6.25	16	2.50 (41.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	12.50	18.75	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	6.25	6.25	16	2.44 (40.62%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.62 (43.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	37.50	43.75	0.00	0.00	16	2.19 (36.46%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	18.75	25.00	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.31 (38.54%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	31.25	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	31.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : BST1102- BIOCHEMISTRY - 2018- BIOCHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.25	0.00	18.75	18.75	37.50	18.75	16	3.38 (56.25%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	12.50	25.00	43.75	18.75	16	3.69 (61.46%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	18.75	18.75	43.75	18.75	16	3.62 (60.42%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	12.50	12.50	18.75	43.75	12.50	16	3.31 (55.21%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	6.25	6.25	31.25	37.50	18.75	16	3.56 (59.38%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.51 (58.54%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : OLP1201- ANALYSIS OF OILSEEDS, OILS AND RAW MATERIALS OF OILS AND SOAP INDUSTRY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.12 (52.08%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	43.75	31.25	0.00	16	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	18.75	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	6.25	56.25	25.00	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.12 (52.08%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	12.50	12.50	43.75	18.75	6.25	16	2.75 (45.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	12.50	50.00	12.50	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	12.50	6.25	0.00	50.00	25.00	6.25	16	2.88 (47.92%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	12.50	31.25	25.00	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	12.50	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.75 (45.83%)

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	18.75	6.25	62.50	0.00	6.25	16	2.50 (41.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	12.50	18.75	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	6.25	6.25	16	2.44 (40.62%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.62 (43.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	37.50	43.75	0.00	0.00	16	2.19 (36.46%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	18.75	25.00	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.31 (38.54%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	31.25	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	31.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CHT1124- INDUSTRIAL INORGANIC CHEMISTRY - 2018- Industrial Inorganic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00	16	2.62 (43.75%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	12.50	56.25	25.00	6.25	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	12.50	43.75	43.75	0.00	0.00	16	2.31 (38.54%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	50.00	43.75	6.25	0.00	16	2.56 (42.71%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.40 (40.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : OLP1201- ANALYSIS OF OILSEEDS, OILS AND RAW MATERIALS OF OILS AND SOAP INDUSTRY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	18.75	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.12 (52.08%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	6.25	18.75	43.75	31.25	0.00	16	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	0.00	18.75	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	6.25	12.50	56.25	18.75	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	6.25	56.25	25.00	0.00	16	2.88 (47.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.12 (52.08%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	12.50	12.50	43.75	18.75	6.25	16	2.75 (45.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	12.50	50.00	12.50	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	12.50	6.25	0.00	50.00	25.00	6.25	16	2.88 (47.92%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	12.50	31.25	25.00	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	6.25	12.50	50.00	12.50	12.50	16	2.94 (48.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.75 (45.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	18.75	6.25	62.50	0.00	6.25	16	2.50 (41.67%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	12.50	18.75	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.69 (44.79%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	6.25	6.25	16	2.44 (40.62%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	12.50	37.50	18.75	6.25	16	2.62 (43.75%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.62 (43.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab Monday	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.25	18.75	18.75	43.75	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.25	12.50	37.50	43.75	0.00	0.00	16	2.19 (36.46%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.25	18.75	25.00	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.31 (38.54%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.25	12.50	31.25	37.50	12.50	0.00	16	2.38 (39.58%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.25	18.75	31.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	16	2.25 (37.50%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.38 (39.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 16 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CHT1124- INDUSTRIAL INORGANIC CHEMISTRY - 2018- Industrial Inorganic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	12.50	12.50	37.50	25.00	12.50	16	3.12 (52.08%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	12.50	12.50	31.25	31.25	12.50	16	3.19 (53.12%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	12.50	6.25	50.00	18.75	12.50	16	3.12 (52.08%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	6.25	18.75	43.75	25.00	6.25	16	3.06 (51.04%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	6.25	18.75	37.50	25.00	12.50	16	3.19 (53.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.14 (52.29%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : OLP1202- PROCESSING OF OLEOCHEMICALS & WAXES AND COSMETICS FORMULATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	11.11	61.11	18	4.22 (70.37%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	16.67	55.56	18	4.22 (70.37%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	22.22	50.00	18	4.11 (68.52%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	11.11	27.78	5.56	55.56	18	4.06 (67.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	22.22	50.00	18	4.17 (69.44%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.22 (70.37%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLP1203- ANALYSIS OF SURFACTANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	5.56	27.78	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.61 (43.52%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	22.22	38.89	5.56	16.67	18	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	22.22	27.78	22.22	11.11	18	2.72 (45.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	11.11	22.22	27.78	0.00	22.22	18	2.50 (41.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	11.11	16.67	18	2.28 (37.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.61 (43.52%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CET1401- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	27.78	44.44	18	4.17 (69.44%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	55.56	18	4.44 (74.07%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	38.89	44.44	18	4.28 (71.30%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	44.44	38.89	18	4.22 (70.37%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	44.44	44.44	18	4.33 (72.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.29 (71.48%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : OLP1202- PROCESSING OF OLEOCHEMICALS & WAXES AND COSMETICS FORMULATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	11.11	61.11	18	4.22 (70.37%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	16.67	55.56	18	4.22 (70.37%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	22.22	50.00	18	4.11 (68.52%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	11.11	27.78	5.56	55.56	18	4.06 (67.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	22.22	50.00	18	4.17 (69.44%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.22 (70.37%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLP1203- ANALYSIS OF SURFACTANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	5.56	27.78	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.61 (43.52%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	22.22	38.89	5.56	16.67	18	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	22.22	27.78	22.22	11.11	18	2.72 (45.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	11.11	22.22	27.78	0.00	22.22	18	2.50 (41.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	11.11	16.67	18	2.28 (37.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.61 (43.52%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CET1212- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING - 2018- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	11.11	33.33	11.11	44.44	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	11.11	27.78	22.22	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.56	0.00	0.00	38.89	27.78	27.78	18	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	11.11	27.78	22.22	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	22.22	44.44	18	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.87 (64.44%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : OLP1202- PROCESSING OF OLEOCHEMICALS & WAXES AND COSMETICS FORMULATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	11.11	61.11	18	4.22 (70.37%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	16.67	55.56	18	4.22 (70.37%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	22.22	50.00	18	4.11 (68.52%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	11.11	27.78	5.56	55.56	18	4.06 (67.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	22.22	50.00	18	4.17 (69.44%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.22 (70.37%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLP1203- ANALYSIS OF SURFACTANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	5.56	27.78	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.61 (43.52%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	22.22	38.89	5.56	16.67	18	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	22.22	27.78	22.22	11.11	18	2.72 (45.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	11.11	22.22	27.78	0.00	22.22	18	2.50 (41.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	11.11	16.67	18	2.28 (37.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.61 (43.52%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLT1104- CHEMISTRY OF OILS LIPIDS ESSENTIAL OILS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	5.56	16.67	27.78	33.33	16.67	18	3.39 (56.48%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	5.56	16.67	22.22	38.89	16.67	18	3.44 (57.41%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	22.22	33.33	22.22	22.22	18	3.44 (57.41%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.56	11.11	33.33	33.33	16.67	18	3.44 (57.41%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	27.78	27.78	27.78	16.67	18	3.33 (55.56%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.41 (56.85%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : OLP1202- PROCESSING OF OLEOCHEMICALS & WAXES AND COSMETICS FORMULATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	11.11	61.11	18	4.22 (70.37%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	16.67	55.56	18	4.22 (70.37%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	22.22	50.00	18	4.11 (68.52%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	11.11	27.78	5.56	55.56	18	4.06 (67.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	22.22	50.00	18	4.17 (69.44%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.22 (70.37%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLP1203- ANALYSIS OF SURFACTANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	5.56	27.78	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.61 (43.52%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	22.22	38.89	5.56	16.67	18	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	22.22	27.78	22.22	11.11	18	2.72 (45.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	11.11	22.22	27.78	0.00	22.22	18	2.50 (41.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	11.11	16.67	18	2.28 (37.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.61 (43.52%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLT1105- OIL AND FAT PRODUCTION AND EDIBLE OIL PROCESSING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	16.67	61.11	18	4.17 (69.44%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	11.11	72.22	18	4.39 (73.15%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	16.67	66.67	18	4.33 (72.22%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	16.67	5.56	16.67	61.11	18	4.22 (70.37%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	11.11	72.22	18	4.39 (73.15%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.30 (71.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : OLP1202- PROCESSING OF OLEOCHEMICALS & WAXES AND COSMETICS FORMULATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	11.11	61.11	18	4.22 (70.37%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	16.67	55.56	18	4.22 (70.37%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	22.22	50.00	18	4.11 (68.52%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	11.11	27.78	5.56	55.56	18	4.06 (67.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	22.22	50.00	18	4.17 (69.44%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.22 (70.37%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLP1203- ANALYSIS OF SURFACTANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	5.56	27.78	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.61 (43.52%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	22.22	38.89	5.56	16.67	18	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	22.22	27.78	22.22	11.11	18	2.72 (45.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	11.11	22.22	27.78	0.00	22.22	18	2.50 (41.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	11.11	16.67	18	2.28 (37.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.61 (43.52%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : OLT1105- OIL AND FAT PRODUCTION AND EDIBLE OIL PROCESSING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	16.67	22.22	16.67	11.11	11.11	22.22	18	2.44 (40.74%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	16.67	5.56	27.78	16.67	5.56	27.78	18	2.72 (45.37%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	16.67	5.56	22.22	16.67	11.11	27.78	18	2.83 (47.22%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	16.67	11.11	22.22	22.22	5.56	22.22	18	2.56 (42.59%)
5. Overall grade	16.67	11.11	22.22	22.22	0.00	27.78	18	2.61 (43.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.63 (43.89%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : OLP1202- PROCESSING OF OLEOCHEMICALS & WAXES AND COSMETICS FORMULATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	11.11	61.11	18	4.22 (70.37%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	16.67	55.56	18	4.22 (70.37%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	22.22	50.00	18	4.11 (68.52%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	11.11	27.78	5.56	55.56	18	4.06 (67.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	22.22	50.00	18	4.17 (69.44%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.22 (70.37%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : OLP1203- ANALYSIS OF SURFACTANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.11	5.56	27.78	33.33	11.11	11.11	18	2.61 (43.52%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	22.22	38.89	5.56	16.67	18	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	22.22	27.78	22.22	11.11	18	2.72 (45.37%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	11.11	22.22	27.78	0.00	22.22	18	2.50 (41.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	16.67	16.67	16.67	11.11	16.67	18	2.28 (37.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.61 (43.52%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : OLT1124- TECHNOLOGY OF PERFUMERY CHEMICALS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.74 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	55.56	16.67	18	3.78 (62.96%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	5.56	5.56	16.67	44.44	27.78	18	3.83 (63.89%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.56	5.56	11.11	50.00	27.78	18	3.89 (64.81%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	55.56	33.33	18	4.11 (68.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.92 (65.37%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 53.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CET1703- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL - 2018- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL (CET1703)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	14.29	7.14	35.71	35.71	7.14	14	3.14 (52.38%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	14.29	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.14 (52.38%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	21.43	21.43	21.43	28.57	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	7.14	7.14	7.14	42.86	21.43	14.29	14	3.07 (51.19%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	21.43	14.29	28.57	21.43	14.29	14	2.93 (48.81%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.01 (50.24%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 53.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : HUT1105- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II - 2018- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II [HUT1105]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	14.29	0.00	28.57	35.71	21.43	0.00	14	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	14.29	0.00	28.57	42.86	14.29	0.00	14	2.43 (40.48%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	14.29	0.00	50.00	28.57	7.14	0.00	14	2.14 (35.71%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	14.29	7.14	14.29	50.00	14.29	0.00	14	2.43 (40.48%)
5. Overall grade	14.29	0.00	28.57	42.86	14.29	0.00	14	2.43 (40.48%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.39 (39.76%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 53.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : MAT1106- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS - 2018- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS [MAT1106]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	14.29	28.57	42.86	14.29	14	3.57 (59.52%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	50.00	14.29	14	3.64 (60.71%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	14.29	35.71	35.71	14.29	14	3.50 (58.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	14.29	21.43	50.00	14.29	14	3.64 (60.71%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	7.14	35.71	42.86	14.29	14	3.64 (60.71%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.60 (60.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 53.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLT1110- TECHNOLOGY OF DRYING OILS AND RESINS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.14	0.00	28.57	50.00	7.14	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	14.29	0.00	42.86	35.71	0.00	7.14	14	2.29 (38.10%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	7.14	0.00	21.43	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.86 (47.62%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	14.29	0.00	28.57	50.00	0.00	7.14	14	2.43 (40.48%)
5. Overall grade	7.14	0.00	28.57	57.14	0.00	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.59 (43.10%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 53.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

		Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : OLT1126- TECHNOLOGY OF OLEOCHEMICALS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	14.29	0.00	14.29	35.71	28.57	7.14	14	2.86 (47.62%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	14.29	0.00	0.00	42.86	35.71	7.14	14	3.07 (51.19%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	14.29	0.00	14.29	28.57	35.71	7.14	14	2.93 (48.81%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	14.29	0.00	7.14	35.71	35.71	7.14	14	3.00 (50.00%)
5. Overall grade	14.29	0.00	7.14	42.86	28.57	7.14	14	2.93 (48.81%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.96 (49.29%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 8						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 75.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 8							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 8							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 75.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 8							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 75.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 8							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 175.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

		Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 8						
Subject Name : OLT1114- ELECTIVE - II : BY PRODUCTS UTILIZATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT - 2018- BY PRODUCTS UTILIZATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT (OLT1114)		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 87.50 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	14.29	14.29	7	3.43 (57.14%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	14.29	14.29	7	3.43 (57.14%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.14	28.57	14.29	7	3.57 (59.52%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.71	0.00	14.29	7	3.29 (54.76%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.43	14.29	14.29	7	3.43 (57.14%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.14%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018							6	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 266.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 466.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : FDT1051- ELECTIVE - II : NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS - 2018_NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS (FDT1051)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 66.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.50 (41.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.50 (41.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.30 (38.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 266.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 466.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : FDT1051- ELECTIVE - II : NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS - 2018_NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS (FDT1051)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 66.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.50 (41.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.50 (41.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.50 (41.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.50 (41.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	2	2.50 (41.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.50 (41.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 4						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 150.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 150.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 150.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 350.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 4 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : CET1511- ELECTIVE - III : PLANT UTILITIES - 2018- PLANT UTILITIES (CET1511)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	4	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	4	2.25 (37.50%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	4	2.25 (37.50%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	4	2.25 (37.50%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	50.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	4	2.25 (37.50%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.20 (36.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 1						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 600.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	33.33	0.00	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	33.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.67 (27.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	33.33	0.00	50.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	6	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	33.33	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	1.33 (22.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.83 (30.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 800.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.12 (18.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	0.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	0.00	62.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : OLP1207- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 600.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	16.67	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00	6	1.83 (30.56%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33	16.67	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : OLP1208- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 600.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	16.67	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	0.00	6	2.17 (36.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.17 (36.11%)

	Feedback Given By : 14 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : OLP1127- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 1400.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	14.29	0.00	14.29	50.00	14.29	7.14	14	2.71 (45.24%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	14.29	0.00	14.29	42.86	21.43	7.14	14	2.79 (46.43%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	14.29	0.00	14.29	57.14	7.14	7.14	14	2.64 (44.05%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.71 (45.24%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : CET1608- ELECTIVE II : BIOPHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING - 2018- BIOPHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING (CET1608)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	1	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	1	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	1	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	1	3.00 (50.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	1	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PHP1081- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	29.41	52.94	17	4.35 (72.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	5.88	17.65	29.41	41.18	17	3.94 (65.69%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	29.41	47.06	17	4.12 (68.63%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	47.06	17	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHP1022- PHYSIOLOGY-PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	41.18	35.29	17	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	41.18	17	4.12 (68.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	47.06	35.29	17	4.12 (68.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	47.06	41.18	17	4.24 (70.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	52.94	29.41	17	4.06 (67.65%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHT1081- PHARMACEUTICS FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.53	76.47	17	4.76 (79.41%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	76.47	17	4.71 (78.43%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	76.47	17	4.71 (78.43%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	82.35	17	4.76 (79.41%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.53	76.47	17	4.76 (79.41%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.74 (79.02%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PHP1081- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	29.41	52.94	17	4.35 (72.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	5.88	17.65	29.41	41.18	17	3.94 (65.69%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	29.41	47.06	17	4.12 (68.63%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	47.06	17	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHP1022- PHYSIOLOGY-PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	41.18	35.29	17	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	41.18	17	4.12 (68.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	47.06	35.29	17	4.12 (68.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	47.06	41.18	17	4.24 (70.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	52.94	29.41	17	4.06 (67.65%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHT1081- PHARMACEUTICS FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	11.76	17.65	35.29	35.29	17	3.94 (65.69%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.41	41.18	29.41	17	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	41.18	17	4.12 (68.63%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.88	5.88	23.53	35.29	29.41	17	3.76 (62.75%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.41	29.41	41.18	17	4.12 (68.63%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.99 (66.47%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PHP1081- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	29.41	52.94	17	4.35 (72.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	5.88	17.65	29.41	41.18	17	3.94 (65.69%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	29.41	47.06	17	4.12 (68.63%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	47.06	17	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHP1022- PHYSIOLOGY-PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	41.18	35.29	17	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	41.18	17	4.12 (68.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	47.06	35.29	17	4.12 (68.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	47.06	41.18	17	4.24 (70.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	52.94	29.41	17	4.06 (67.65%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHT1023- PHYSIOLOGY AND PHARMACOLOGY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	35.29	52.94	17	4.35 (72.55%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	52.94	17	4.29 (71.57%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	35.29	47.06	17	4.24 (70.59%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	41.18	41.18	17	4.24 (70.59%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	41.18	47.06	17	4.35 (72.55%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.29 (71.57%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PHP1081- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	29.41	52.94	17	4.35 (72.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	5.88	17.65	29.41	41.18	17	3.94 (65.69%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	29.41	47.06	17	4.12 (68.63%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	47.06	17	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHP1022- PHYSIOLOGY-PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	41.18	35.29	17	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	41.18	17	4.12 (68.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	47.06	35.29	17	4.12 (68.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	47.06	41.18	17	4.24 (70.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	52.94	29.41	17	4.06 (67.65%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : BST1109- Microbiology - 2018- Microbiology [BST1109]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.88	0.00	17.65	17.65	35.29	23.53	17	3.47 (57.84%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.88	0.00	23.53	17.65	35.29	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	11.76	5.88	17.65	29.41	17.65	17.65	17	2.88 (48.04%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	11.76	0.00	17.65	29.41	23.53	17.65	17	3.06 (50.98%)
5. Overall grade	5.88	5.88	11.76	29.41	23.53	23.53	17	3.29 (54.90%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.20 (53.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PHP1081- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	29.41	52.94	17	4.35 (72.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	5.88	17.65	29.41	41.18	17	3.94 (65.69%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	29.41	47.06	17	4.12 (68.63%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	47.06	17	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHP1022- PHYSIOLOGY-PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	41.18	35.29	17	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	41.18	17	4.12 (68.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	47.06	35.29	17	4.12 (68.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	47.06	41.18	17	4.24 (70.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	52.94	29.41	17	4.06 (67.65%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : BST1102- BIOCHEMISTRY - 2018- BIOCHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	35.29	35.29	17	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	52.94	17	4.29 (71.57%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	17.65	58.82	17	4.24 (70.59%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	17.65	58.82	17	4.24 (70.59%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	41.18	47.06	17	4.29 (71.57%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.21 (70.20%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PHP1081- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	29.41	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	29.41	52.94	17	4.35 (72.55%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	5.88	17.65	29.41	41.18	17	3.94 (65.69%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	29.41	47.06	17	4.12 (68.63%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	47.06	17	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PHP1022- PHYSIOLOGY-PHARMACOLOGY LABORATORY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	5.88	0.00	17.65	41.18	35.29	17	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	41.18	17	4.12 (68.63%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	47.06	35.29	17	4.12 (68.63%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	47.06	41.18	17	4.24 (70.59%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	52.94	29.41	17	4.06 (67.65%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PHT1051- CHEMISTRY OF NATURAL PRODUCTS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.47 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	47.06	41.18	17	4.29 (71.57%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	5.88	11.76	29.41	29.41	23.53	17	3.53 (58.82%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	17.65	35.29	17.65	29.41	17	3.59 (59.80%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	5.88	23.53	23.53	47.06	17	4.12 (68.63%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	23.53	35.29	17	3.76 (62.75%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.86 (64.31%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	5.88	11.76	41.18	5.88	23.53	17	2.94 (49.02%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	11.76	5.88	41.18	5.88	17.65	17	2.59 (43.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	0.00	17.65	29.41	17.65	23.53	17	3.12 (51.96%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.76	0.00	11.76	35.29	17.65	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.76	5.88	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	17	2.65 (44.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.02%)

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	29.41	29.41	17	3.76 (62.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.76	17.65	52.94	17.65	17	3.76 (62.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	47.06	29.41	17	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	52.94	23.53	17	3.94 (65.69%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	11.76	17.65	29.41	35.29	17	3.71 (61.76%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.76 (62.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHP1082- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY-II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	23.53	64.71	17	4.53 (75.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	35.29	58.82	17	4.53 (75.49%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	58.82	17	4.47 (74.51%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : CET1401- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	17.65	58.82	17	4.29 (71.57%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	41.18	47.06	17	4.29 (71.57%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	17.65	58.82	17	4.29 (71.57%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	58.82	17	4.47 (74.51%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	47.06	41.18	17	4.29 (71.57%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.33 (72.16%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	5.88	11.76	41.18	5.88	23.53	17	2.94 (49.02%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	11.76	5.88	41.18	5.88	17.65	17	2.59 (43.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	0.00	17.65	29.41	17.65	23.53	17	3.12 (51.96%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.76	0.00	11.76	35.29	17.65	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.76	5.88	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	17	2.65 (44.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.02%)

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	29.41	29.41	17	3.76 (62.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.76	17.65	52.94	17.65	17	3.76 (62.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	47.06	29.41	17	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	52.94	23.53	17	3.94 (65.69%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	11.76	17.65	29.41	35.29	17	3.71 (61.76%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.76 (62.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHP1082- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY-II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	23.53	64.71	17	4.53 (75.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	35.29	58.82	17	4.53 (75.49%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	58.82	17	4.47 (74.51%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : CET1212- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING - 2018- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	11.76	23.53	35.29	29.41	17	3.82 (63.73%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	5.88	0.00	35.29	35.29	23.53	17	3.71 (61.76%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.88	5.88	5.88	23.53	23.53	35.29	17	3.59 (59.80%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	11.76	5.88	17.65	23.53	41.18	17	3.76 (62.75%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	11.76	0.00	29.41	23.53	35.29	17	3.71 (61.76%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.72 (61.96%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	5.88	11.76	41.18	5.88	23.53	17	2.94 (49.02%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	11.76	5.88	41.18	5.88	17.65	17	2.59 (43.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	0.00	17.65	29.41	17.65	23.53	17	3.12 (51.96%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.76	0.00	11.76	35.29	17.65	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.76	5.88	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	17	2.65 (44.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.02%)

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	29.41	29.41	17	3.76 (62.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.76	17.65	52.94	17.65	17	3.76 (62.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	47.06	29.41	17	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	52.94	23.53	17	3.94 (65.69%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	11.76	17.65	29.41	35.29	17	3.71 (61.76%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.76 (62.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHP1082- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY-II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	23.53	64.71	17	4.53 (75.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	35.29	58.82	17	4.53 (75.49%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	58.82	17	4.47 (74.51%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHT1082- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.53	35.29	41.18	17	4.18 (69.61%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	35.29	52.94	17	4.41 (73.53%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	35.29	52.94	17	4.41 (73.53%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	41.18	47.06	17	4.35 (72.55%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	35.29	52.94	17	4.41 (73.53%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.35 (72.55%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	5.88	11.76	41.18	5.88	23.53	17	2.94 (49.02%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	11.76	5.88	41.18	5.88	17.65	17	2.59 (43.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	0.00	17.65	29.41	17.65	23.53	17	3.12 (51.96%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.76	0.00	11.76	35.29	17.65	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.76	5.88	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	17	2.65 (44.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.02%)

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	29.41	29.41	17	3.76 (62.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.76	17.65	52.94	17.65	17	3.76 (62.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	47.06	29.41	17	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	52.94	23.53	17	3.94 (65.69%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	11.76	17.65	29.41	35.29	17	3.71 (61.76%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.76 (62.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHP1082- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY-II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	23.53	64.71	17	4.53 (75.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	35.29	58.82	17	4.53 (75.49%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	58.82	17	4.47 (74.51%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHT1082- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY - II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	5.88	76.47	17	4.53 (75.49%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	76.47	17	4.71 (78.43%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	94.12	17	4.94 (82.35%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	5.88	5.88	11.76	76.47	17	4.59 (76.47%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.76	82.35	17	4.76 (79.41%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.71 (78.43%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	5.88	11.76	41.18	5.88	23.53	17	2.94 (49.02%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	11.76	5.88	41.18	5.88	17.65	17	2.59 (43.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	0.00	17.65	29.41	17.65	23.53	17	3.12 (51.96%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.76	0.00	11.76	35.29	17.65	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.76	5.88	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	17	2.65 (44.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.02%)

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	29.41	29.41	17	3.76 (62.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.76	17.65	52.94	17.65	17	3.76 (62.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	47.06	29.41	17	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	52.94	23.53	17	3.94 (65.69%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	11.76	17.65	29.41	35.29	17	3.71 (61.76%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.76 (62.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHP1082- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY-II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	23.53	64.71	17	4.53 (75.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	35.29	58.82	17	4.53 (75.49%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	58.82	17	4.47 (74.51%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHT1054- MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	5.88	17.65	17.65	11.76	47.06	17	3.76 (62.75%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	23.53	35.29	17	3.76 (62.75%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	11.76	35.29	17.65	35.29	17	3.76 (62.75%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	17.65	5.88	35.29	41.18	17	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	11.76	17.65	23.53	47.06	17	4.06 (67.65%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.87 (64.51%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	5.88	11.76	41.18	5.88	23.53	17	2.94 (49.02%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	11.76	5.88	41.18	5.88	17.65	17	2.59 (43.14%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	0.00	17.65	29.41	17.65	23.53	17	3.12 (51.96%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	11.76	0.00	11.76	35.29	17.65	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	11.76	5.88	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	17	2.65 (44.12%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.02%)

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17						
Subject Name : PHP1043- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS & PHARMACEUTICAL & BIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSIS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	29.41	29.41	17	3.76 (62.75%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	11.76	17.65	52.94	17.65	17	3.76 (62.75%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	47.06	29.41	17	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	5.88	17.65	52.94	23.53	17	3.94 (65.69%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	0.00	11.76	17.65	29.41	35.29	17	3.71 (61.76%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.76 (62.75%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHP1082- PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATION TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY-II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.65	23.53	58.82	17	4.41 (73.53%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	23.53	64.71	17	4.53 (75.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.88	35.29	58.82	17	4.53 (75.49%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.76	29.41	58.82	17	4.47 (74.51%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.41 (73.53%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 17							
Subject Name : PHT1048- MEDICINAL NATURAL PRODUCTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.88	5.88	5.88	23.53	29.41	29.41	17	3.53 (58.82%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.88	0.00	5.88	23.53	41.18	23.53	17	3.65 (60.78%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.88	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	35.29	17	3.82 (63.73%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.88	0.00	0.00	41.18	17.65	35.29	17	3.71 (61.76%)
5. Overall grade	5.88	0.00	0.00	29.41	35.29	29.41	17	3.76 (62.75%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.69 (61.57%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 55.56 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CET1703- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL - 2018- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL (CET1703)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	5.56	0.00	22.22	11.11	61.11	18	4.22 (70.37%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	11.11	61.11	18	4.28 (71.30%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	22.22	55.56	18	4.11 (68.52%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	5.56	27.78	16.67	50.00	18	4.11 (68.52%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	11.11	61.11	18	4.28 (71.30%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.20 (70.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 55.56 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHT1056- MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	27.78	33.33	18	3.78 (62.96%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	38.89	33.33	18	3.94 (65.74%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	16.67	11.11	38.89	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.56	11.11	16.67	33.33	33.33	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	16.67	5.56	44.44	33.33	18	3.94 (65.74%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.87 (64.44%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 55.56 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHT1056- MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY II	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.56	5.56	33.33	27.78	11.11	16.67	18	2.83 (47.22%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	11.11	5.56	11.11	33.33	22.22	16.67	18	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	11.11	5.56	11.11	22.22	33.33	16.67	18	3.11 (51.85%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	11.11	11.11	16.67	16.67	27.78	16.67	18	2.89 (48.15%)
5. Overall grade	11.11	5.56	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	18	2.89 (48.15%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.94 (49.07%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 55.56 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHT1084- VALIDATION AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	38.89	38.89	18	4.17 (69.44%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	33.33	50.00	18	4.33 (72.22%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	5.56	22.22	33.33	38.89	18	4.06 (67.59%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.78	27.78	44.44	18	4.17 (69.44%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	22.22	44.44	18	4.11 (68.52%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.17 (69.44%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 55.56 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : HUT1105- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II - 2018- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II [HUT1105]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	11.11	5.56	33.33	33.33	16.67	18	3.39 (56.48%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	5.56	5.56	38.89	27.78	22.22	18	3.56 (59.26%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	16.67	0.00	38.89	22.22	22.22	18	3.33 (55.56%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	5.56	11.11	33.33	27.78	22.22	18	3.50 (58.33%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	5.56	11.11	38.89	27.78	16.67	18	3.39 (56.48%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.43 (57.22%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 55.56 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 44.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAT1106- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS - 2018- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS [MAT1106]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.89	22.22	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	38.89	27.78	18	3.94 (65.74%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.89	22.22	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.89	22.22	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.89	22.22	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.99 (66.48%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 7						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 142.86 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018							10	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 114.29 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 85.71 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PHT1093- ELECTIVE - II : SPECTROSCOPY - 2018- SPECTROSCOPY (PHT1093)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	14.29	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	7	3.86 (64.29%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	14.29	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	7	3.86 (64.29%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	14.29	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	7	3.86 (64.29%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	14.29	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	7	3.86 (64.29%)
5. Overall grade	14.29	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	7	3.86 (64.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.86 (64.29%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 3						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 333.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 266.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 3 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : CET1511- ELECTIVE - III : PLANT UTILITIES - 2018- PLANT UTILITIES (CET1511)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	3	3.33 (55.56%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	33.33	3	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33	3	3.33 (55.56%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	33.33	3	3.00 (50.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	33.33	3	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.13 (52.22%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 2						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 500.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 300.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

		Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2						
Subject Name : PET1712- ELECTIVE - II : TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING (PET1712)		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	50.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.00 (66.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 1						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 1000.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 800.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 1							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 600.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

		Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 1						
Subject Name : OLT1114- ELECTIVE - II : BY PRODUCTS UTILIZATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT - 2018- BY PRODUCTS UTILIZATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT (OLT1114)		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	1	4.00 (66.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	1	4.00 (66.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	1	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.40 (73.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 3						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 333.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 266.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 3 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : FDT1051- ELECTIVE - II : NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS - 2018_NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS (FDT1051)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00	3	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00	3	2.67 (44.44%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00	3	2.67 (44.44%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00	3	2.67 (44.44%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00	3	2.67 (44.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.67 (44.44%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 3						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 333.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 266.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 200.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 3 / Total No. of Students : 3							
Subject Name : FDT1051- ELECTIVE - II : NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS - 2018_NUTRACEUTICALS AND FUNCTIONAL FOODS (FDT1051)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	3	3.67 (61.11%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	3	3.67 (61.11%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	3	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	3	3.67 (61.11%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33	3	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.67 (61.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 2						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 500.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	0.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	10.00	20.00	10.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	10.00	20.00	10	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	10.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	10.00	10	2.70 (45.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.60 (43.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 8 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 400.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	8	1.25 (20.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	37.50	12.50	25.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	8	1.50 (25.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	37.50	12.50	25.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	8	1.38 (22.92%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.25 (20.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : PHP1073- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 300.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	50.00	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	33.33	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	33.33	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 2 / Total No. of Students : 2							
Subject Name : CET1608- ELECTIVE II : BIOPHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING - 2018- BIOPHARMACEUTICAL ENGINEERING (CET1608)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	2	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	2	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	2	3.50 (58.33%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	2	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.70 (61.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	22.22	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.11 (35.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.11 (35.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.67 (44.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	33.33	13.33	15	3.20 (53.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CET1703- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL - 2018- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL (CET1703)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	13.33	26.67	26.67	33.33	15	3.80 (63.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	13.33	26.67	26.67	33.33	15	3.80 (63.33%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	13.33	26.67	26.67	33.33	15	3.80 (63.33%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	13.33	33.33	33.33	20.00	15	3.60 (60.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	26.67	15	3.73 (62.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.75 (62.44%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 10						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	22.22	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.11 (35.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.11 (35.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.67 (44.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 150.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	33.33	13.33	15	3.20 (53.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 10 / Total No. of Students : 10							
Subject Name : PET1712- ELECTIVE - II : TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING (PET1712)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.00	50.00	20.00	20.00	10	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	30.00	20.00	10	3.60 (60.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	10.00	40.00	30.00	20.00	10	3.60 (60.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	40.00	20.00	10	3.70 (61.67%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	10.00	30.00	40.00	20.00	10	3.70 (61.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.62 (60.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	22.22	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.11 (35.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.11 (35.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.67 (44.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	33.33	13.33	15	3.20 (53.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.44%)

		Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : PST1710- ENVIRONMENT HEALTH & SAFETY OF POLYMERS & COATING - 2018- ENVIRONMENT HEALTH & SAFETY OF POLYMERS & COATING		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	13.33	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	13.33	15	3.07 (51.11%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	13.33	0.00	6.67	33.33	33.33	13.33	15	3.13 (52.22%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	13.33	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	13.33	15	3.07 (51.11%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	13.33	0.00	6.67	40.00	26.67	13.33	15	3.07 (51.11%)
5. Overall grade	13.33	0.00	13.33	33.33	26.67	13.33	15	3.00 (50.00%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.07 (51.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	22.22	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.11 (35.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.11 (35.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.67 (44.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	33.33	13.33	15	3.20 (53.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PST1711- EVALUATION & TESTING OF POLYMERS & COATINGS - 2018- EVALUATION & TESTING OF POLYMERS & COATINGS (PST1711)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	46.67	26.67	15	3.93 (65.56%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	60.00	26.67	15	4.13 (68.89%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	60.00	26.67	15	4.13 (68.89%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	60.00	26.67	15	4.13 (68.89%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	53.33	26.67	15	4.07 (67.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.08 (68.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	22.22	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.11 (35.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.11 (35.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.67 (44.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	33.33	13.33	15	3.20 (53.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : HUT1105- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II - 2018- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II [HUT1105]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	6.67	33.33	53.33	6.67	15	3.60 (60.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	6.67	46.67	40.00	6.67	15	3.47 (57.78%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	6.67	33.33	53.33	6.67	15	3.60 (60.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	6.67	33.33	53.33	6.67	15	3.60 (60.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	6.67	26.67	60.00	6.67	15	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.78%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 15						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 60.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	22.22	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.11 (35.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.11 (35.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.67 (44.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 40.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	33.33	13.33	15	3.20 (53.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 15							
Subject Name : MAT1106- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS - 2018- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS [MAT1106]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	46.67	15	4.20 (70.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	33.33	40.00	15	4.07 (67.78%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	20.00	53.33	15	4.20 (70.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	33.33	40.00	15	4.13 (68.89%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	6.67	20.00	33.33	40.00	15	4.07 (67.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.13 (68.89%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 9 / Total No. of Students : 5						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 180.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	22.22	22.22	11.11	22.22	11.11	11.11	9	2.11 (35.19%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	22.22	22.22	11.11	33.33	0.00	11.11	9	2.00 (33.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.11 (35.19%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 120.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	16.67	33.33	16.67	16.67	6	2.83 (47.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	33.33	16.67	16.67	16.67	6	2.67 (44.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.67 (44.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 120.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 120.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	16.67	0.00	33.33	50.00	0.00	6	3.17 (52.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.17 (52.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 300.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	6.67	6.67	33.33	33.33	13.33	15	3.20 (53.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	6.67	6.67	0.00	40.00	33.33	13.33	15	3.27 (54.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.44%)

	Feedback Given By : 5 / Total No. of Students : 5							
Subject Name : PHT1093- ELECTIVE - II : SPECTROSCOPY - 2018- SPECTROSCOPY (PHT1093)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	60.00	5	4.40 (73.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	5	4.60 (76.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	5	4.60 (76.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	40.00	5	4.40 (73.33%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	60.00	5	4.60 (76.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.52 (75.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	11.76	29.41	11.76	23.53	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	0.00	23.53	23.53	29.41	17.65	17	3.24 (53.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	23.53	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	5.88	11.76	23.53	35.29	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.88	17.65	23.53	29.41	23.53	17	3.47 (57.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.18 (52.94%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	35.29	0.00	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.18 (36.27%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	17.65	11.76	23.53	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.41 (40.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	17.65	11.76	17.65	29.41	5.88	17.65	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.18 (36.27%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	23.53	35.29	17.65	11.76	5.88	17	2.24 (37.25%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	35.29	29.41	11.76	5.88	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	11.76	58.82	5.88	5.88	5.88	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	17.65	64.71	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.06 (34.31%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	5.88	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.12 (35.29%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	64.71	5.88	0.00	11.76	17	2.18 (36.27%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PST1301- POLYMER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY - 2018- POLYMER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY [PST1301]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.88	17.65	35.29	17.65	11.76	11.76	17	2.47 (41.18%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.88	23.53	29.41	17.65	5.88	17.65	17	2.47 (41.18%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	11.76	17.65	47.06	0.00	5.88	17.65	17	2.24 (37.25%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.88	23.53	41.18	11.76	0.00	17.65	17	2.29 (38.24%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	29.41	41.18	5.88	11.76	11.76	17	2.35 (39.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.36 (39.41%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	11.76	29.41	11.76	23.53	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	0.00	23.53	23.53	29.41	17.65	17	3.24 (53.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	23.53	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	5.88	11.76	23.53	35.29	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.88	17.65	23.53	29.41	23.53	17	3.47 (57.84%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.18 (52.94%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	35.29	0.00	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.18 (36.27%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	17.65	11.76	23.53	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.41 (40.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	17.65	11.76	17.65	29.41	5.88	17.65	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.18 (36.27%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	23.53	35.29	17.65	11.76	5.88	17	2.24 (37.25%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	35.29	29.41	11.76	5.88	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	11.76	58.82	5.88	5.88	5.88	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	17.65	64.71	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.06 (34.31%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	5.88	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.12 (35.29%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	64.71	5.88	0.00	11.76	17	2.18 (36.27%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PST1302- POLYMER CHEMISTRY & TECHNOLOGY - 2018- POLYMER CHEMISTRY & TECHNOLOGY [PST1302]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.88	5.88	35.29	23.53	17.65	11.76	17	2.76 (46.08%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.88	11.76	11.76	35.29	17.65	17.65	17	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.88	0.00	23.53	35.29	11.76	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	11.76	11.76	17.65	23.53	17.65	17.65	17	2.76 (46.08%)
5. Overall grade	5.88	11.76	29.41	17.65	17.65	17.65	17	2.82 (47.06%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.91 (48.43%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	11.76	29.41	11.76	23.53	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	0.00	23.53	23.53	29.41	17.65	17	3.24 (53.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	23.53	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	5.88	11.76	23.53	35.29	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.88	17.65	23.53	29.41	23.53	17	3.47 (57.84%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.18 (52.94%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	35.29	0.00	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.18 (36.27%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	17.65	11.76	23.53	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.41 (40.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	17.65	11.76	17.65	29.41	5.88	17.65	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.18 (36.27%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	23.53	35.29	17.65	11.76	5.88	17	2.24 (37.25%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	35.29	29.41	11.76	5.88	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	11.76	58.82	5.88	5.88	5.88	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	17.65	64.71	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.06 (34.31%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	5.88	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.12 (35.29%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	64.71	5.88	0.00	11.76	17	2.18 (36.27%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CHT1133- CHEMISTRY AND APPLICATIONS OF COLORANTS - 2018- CHEMISTRY AND APPLICATIONS OF COLORANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.88	5.88	17.65	47.06	11.76	11.76	17	2.88 (48.04%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	11.76	17.65	41.18	17.65	11.76	17	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	11.76	29.41	35.29	5.88	17.65	17	2.88 (48.04%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.88	5.88	23.53	29.41	23.53	11.76	17	2.94 (49.02%)
5. Overall grade	5.88	0.00	35.29	35.29	5.88	17.65	17	2.88 (48.04%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.63%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	11.76	29.41	11.76	23.53	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	0.00	23.53	23.53	29.41	17.65	17	3.24 (53.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	23.53	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	5.88	11.76	23.53	35.29	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.88	17.65	23.53	29.41	23.53	17	3.47 (57.84%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.18 (52.94%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	35.29	0.00	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.18 (36.27%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	17.65	11.76	23.53	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.41 (40.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	17.65	11.76	17.65	29.41	5.88	17.65	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.18 (36.27%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	23.53	35.29	17.65	11.76	5.88	17	2.24 (37.25%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	35.29	29.41	11.76	5.88	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	11.76	58.82	5.88	5.88	5.88	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	17.65	64.71	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.06 (34.31%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	5.88	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.12 (35.29%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	64.71	5.88	0.00	11.76	17	2.18 (36.27%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CHT1124- INDUSTRIAL INORGANIC CHEMISTRY - 2018- Industrial Inorganic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	11.76	29.41	29.41	11.76	17.65	17	2.94 (49.02%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	11.76	29.41	29.41	17.65	11.76	17	2.88 (48.04%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.88	11.76	52.94	17.65	5.88	5.88	17	2.24 (37.26%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.88	17.65	29.41	29.41	11.76	5.88	17	2.41 (40.20%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	17.65	35.29	29.41	11.76	5.88	17	2.53 (42.16%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.60 (43.34%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	11.76	29.41	11.76	23.53	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	0.00	23.53	23.53	29.41	17.65	17	3.24 (53.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	23.53	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	5.88	11.76	23.53	35.29	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.88	17.65	23.53	29.41	23.53	17	3.47 (57.84%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.18 (52.94%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	35.29	0.00	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.18 (36.27%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	17.65	11.76	23.53	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.41 (40.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	17.65	11.76	17.65	29.41	5.88	17.65	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.18 (36.27%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	23.53	35.29	17.65	11.76	5.88	17	2.24 (37.25%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	35.29	29.41	11.76	5.88	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	11.76	58.82	5.88	5.88	5.88	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	17.65	64.71	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.06 (34.31%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	5.88	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.12 (35.29%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	64.71	5.88	0.00	11.76	17	2.18 (36.27%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CHT1124- INDUSTRIAL INORGANIC CHEMISTRY - 2018- Industrial Inorganic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	5.88	23.53	29.41	17.65	23.53	17	3.29 (54.90%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	23.53	35.29	29.41	11.76	17	3.29 (54.90%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	23.53	29.41	29.41	17.65	17	3.41 (56.86%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	29.41	35.29	23.53	11.76	17	3.18 (52.94%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	23.53	47.06	17.65	11.76	17	3.18 (52.94%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.51%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	11.76	29.41	11.76	23.53	23.53	17	3.18 (52.94%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	0.00	23.53	23.53	29.41	17.65	17	3.24 (53.92%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	5.88	17.65	35.29	23.53	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	5.88	11.76	23.53	35.29	17.65	17	3.29 (54.90%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	5.88	17.65	23.53	29.41	23.53	17	3.47 (57.84%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.18 (52.94%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	35.29	0.00	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.18 (36.27%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	17.65	17.65	17.65	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.35 (39.22%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	17.65	11.76	23.53	23.53	5.88	17.65	17	2.41 (40.20%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	17.65	11.76	17.65	29.41	5.88	17.65	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.18 (36.27%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	29.41	41.18	5.88	17.65	0.00	17	2.00 (33.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	11.76	23.53	35.29	11.76	11.76	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	23.53	35.29	17.65	11.76	5.88	17	2.24 (37.25%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	35.29	29.41	11.76	5.88	17	2.47 (41.18%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	11.76	11.76	58.82	5.88	5.88	5.88	17	2.00 (33.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.88	17.65	64.71	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.06 (34.31%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	5.88	5.88	17	2.06 (34.31%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.88	11.76	70.59	0.00	0.00	11.76	17	2.12 (35.29%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.88	11.76	64.71	5.88	0.00	11.76	17	2.18 (36.27%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.00 (33.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 17 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PCB1301- MATERIAL TECHNOLOGY - 2018- MATERIAL TECHNOLOGY [PCB1301]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 94.44 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	5.88	11.76	41.18	23.53	17.65	17	3.35 (55.88%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	29.41	35.29	17.65	17.65	17	3.24 (53.92%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.88	17.65	23.53	29.41	5.88	17.65	17	2.65 (44.12%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	29.41	35.29	23.53	11.76	17	3.18 (52.94%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	35.29	29.41	17.65	17.65	17	3.18 (52.94%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.12 (51.96%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	10.53	36.84	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	15.79	42.11	10.53	31.58	19	3.58 (59.65%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	26.32	15.79	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	15.79	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.53%)

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	21.05	15.79	26.32	36.84	19	3.79 (63.16%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	21.05	15.79	36.84	19	3.63 (60.53%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.68 (61.40%)

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : CET1401- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.53	21.05	15.79	52.63	19	4.11 (68.42%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.26	15.79	0.00	78.95	19	4.53 (75.44%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	10.53	15.79	10.53	63.16	19	4.26 (71.05%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	5.26	10.53	15.79	68.42	19	4.47 (74.56%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	5.26	10.53	15.79	68.42	19	4.47 (74.56%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.37 (72.81%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	10.53	36.84	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	15.79	42.11	10.53	31.58	19	3.58 (59.65%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	26.32	15.79	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	15.79	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.53%)

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	21.05	15.79	26.32	36.84	19	3.79 (63.16%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	21.05	15.79	36.84	19	3.63 (60.53%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.68 (61.40%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : CET1212- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING - 2018- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.53	31.58	10.53	47.37	19	3.95 (65.79%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	10.53	31.58	15.79	42.11	19	3.89 (64.91%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	10.53	36.84	15.79	36.84	19	3.79 (63.16%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	15.79	26.32	21.05	36.84	19	3.79 (63.16%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	10.53	21.05	26.32	42.11	19	4.00 (66.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.88 (64.74%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	10.53	36.84	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	15.79	42.11	10.53	31.58	19	3.58 (59.65%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	26.32	15.79	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	15.79	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.53%)

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	21.05	15.79	26.32	36.84	19	3.79 (63.16%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	21.05	15.79	36.84	19	3.63 (60.53%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.68 (61.40%)

	Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PST1504- TECHNOLOGY OF THERMOPLASTIC POLYMERS - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF THERMOPLASTIC POLYMERS [PST1504]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	5.26	21.05	21.05	10.53	42.11	19	3.63 (60.53%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	5.26	15.79	31.58	15.79	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	5.26	15.79	36.84	5.26	36.84	19	3.53 (58.77%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	31.58	21.05	15.79	31.58	19	3.47 (57.89%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	5.26	21.05	21.05	15.79	36.84	19	3.58 (59.65%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.55 (59.12%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	10.53	36.84	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	15.79	42.11	10.53	31.58	19	3.58 (59.65%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	26.32	15.79	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	15.79	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.53%)

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	21.05	15.79	26.32	36.84	19	3.79 (63.16%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	21.05	15.79	36.84	19	3.63 (60.53%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.68 (61.40%)

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PST1505- TECHNOLOGY OF THERMOSET POLYMERS - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF THERMOSET POLYMERS [PST1505]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.05	26.32	52.63	19	4.32 (71.93%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.05	26.32	52.63	19	4.32 (71.93%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.32	26.32	47.37	19	4.21 (70.18%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.53	36.84	52.63	19	4.42 (73.68%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.26	52.63	42.11	19	4.37 (72.81%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	4.33 (72.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
 Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	26.32	21.05	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	10.53	36.84	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	15.79	42.11	10.53	31.58	19	3.58 (59.65%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	26.32	15.79	31.58	19	3.53 (58.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	15.79	36.84	15.79	31.58	19	3.63 (60.53%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.53%)

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	21.05	15.79	26.32	36.84	19	3.79 (63.16%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	26.32	21.05	15.79	36.84	19	3.63 (60.53%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	21.05	21.05	26.32	31.58	19	3.68 (61.40%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.68 (61.40%)

		Feedback Given By : 19 / Total No. of Students : 19							
Subject Name : PET1506- ADDITIVES FOR POLYMERS		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage	
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	10.53	0.00	15.79	73.68	19	4.53 (75.44%)	
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	10.53	10.53	0.00	78.95	19	4.47 (74.56%)	
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	10.53	5.26	10.53	73.68	19	4.47 (74.56%)	
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	15.79	0.00	5.26	78.95	19	4.47 (74.56%)	
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	10.53	0.00	15.79	73.68	19	4.53 (75.44%)	
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018		4.49 (74.91%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	26.67	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	15	3.40 (56.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	20.00	0.00	26.67	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	20.00	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	15	3.47 (57.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.40 (56.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	20.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	6.67	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.53 (42.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	13.33	6.67	20.00	13.33	26.67	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	13.33	13.33	33.33	13.33	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.93 (48.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	6.67	20.00	15	2.27 (37.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	13.33	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	26.67	6.67	33.33	6.67	13.33	13.33	15	2.13 (35.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	26.67	6.67	26.67	6.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.20 (36.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.27 (37.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : PST1301- POLYMER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY - 2018- POLYMER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY [PST1301]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	13.33	6.67	13.33	33.33	20.00	13.33	15	2.80 (46.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	13.33	40.00	26.67	20.00	15	3.53 (58.89%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	13.33	20.00	13.33	26.67	26.67	15	3.33 (55.56%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	6.67	6.67	13.33	33.33	20.00	20.00	15	3.13 (52.22%)
5. Overall grade	6.67	6.67	13.33	26.67	20.00	26.67	15	3.27 (54.44%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.21 (53.56%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	26.67	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	15	3.40 (56.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	20.00	0.00	26.67	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	20.00	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	15	3.47 (57.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.40 (56.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	20.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	6.67	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.53 (42.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018-Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	13.33	6.67	20.00	13.33	26.67	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	13.33	13.33	33.33	13.33	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.93 (48.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	6.67	20.00	15	2.27 (37.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	13.33	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	26.67	6.67	33.33	6.67	13.33	13.33	15	2.13 (35.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	26.67	6.67	26.67	6.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.20 (36.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.27 (37.78%)

		Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : PST1302- POLYMER CHEMISTRY & TECHNOLOGY - 2018- POLYMER CHEMISTRY & TECHNOLOGY [PST1302]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.67	20.00	20.00	13.33	20.00	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.67	0.00	0.00	46.67	13.33	33.33	15	3.60 (60.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.67	0.00	20.00	20.00	26.67	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	13.33	6.67	20.00	13.33	20.00	26.67	15	3.00 (50.00%)
5. Overall grade	6.67	0.00	13.33	40.00	6.67	33.33	15	3.40 (56.67%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.24 (54.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	26.67	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	15	3.40 (56.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	20.00	0.00	26.67	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	20.00	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	15	3.47 (57.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.40 (56.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	20.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	6.67	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.53 (42.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	13.33	6.67	20.00	13.33	26.67	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	13.33	13.33	33.33	13.33	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.93 (48.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	6.67	20.00	15	2.27 (37.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	13.33	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	26.67	6.67	33.33	6.67	13.33	13.33	15	2.13 (35.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	26.67	6.67	26.67	6.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.20 (36.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.27 (37.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CHT1133- CHEMISTRY AND APPLICATIONS OF COLORANTS - 2018- CHEMISTRY AND APPLICATIONS OF COLORANTS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	46.67	13.33	20.00	20.00	15	3.13 (52.22%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	6.67	20.00	26.67	20.00	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	26.67	33.33	13.33	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	13.33	6.67	26.67	20.00	33.33	15	3.53 (58.89%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	13.33	26.67	40.00	20.00	15	3.67 (61.11%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.43 (57.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	26.67	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	15	3.40 (56.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	20.00	0.00	26.67	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	20.00	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	15	3.47 (57.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.40 (56.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	20.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	6.67	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.53 (42.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	13.33	6.67	20.00	13.33	26.67	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	13.33	13.33	33.33	13.33	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.93 (48.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	6.67	20.00	15	2.27 (37.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	13.33	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	26.67	6.67	33.33	6.67	13.33	13.33	15	2.13 (35.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	26.67	6.67	26.67	6.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.20 (36.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.27 (37.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CHT1124- INDUSTRIAL INORGANIC CHEMISTRY - 2018- Industrial Inorganic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.67	0.00	13.33	20.00	40.00	20.00	15	3.47 (57.78%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.67	0.00	13.33	40.00	33.33	6.67	15	3.13 (52.22%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	6.67	6.67	6.67	40.00	33.33	6.67	15	3.07 (51.11%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	6.67	0.00	6.67	33.33	46.67	6.67	15	3.33 (55.56%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	6.67	6.67	46.67	26.67	13.33	15	3.33 (55.56%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.27 (54.45%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	26.67	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	15	3.40 (56.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	20.00	0.00	26.67	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	20.00	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	15	3.47 (57.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.40 (56.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	20.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	6.67	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.53 (42.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	13.33	6.67	20.00	13.33	26.67	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	13.33	13.33	33.33	13.33	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.93 (48.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	6.67	20.00	15	2.27 (37.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	13.33	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	26.67	6.67	33.33	6.67	13.33	13.33	15	2.13 (35.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	26.67	6.67	26.67	6.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.20 (36.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.27 (37.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : CHT1124- INDUSTRIAL INORGANIC CHEMISTRY - 2018- Industrial Inorganic Chemistry	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	26.67	26.67	13.33	33.33	15	3.53 (58.89%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	13.33	20.00	33.33	33.33	15	3.87 (64.44%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	13.33	33.33	20.00	33.33	15	3.73 (62.22%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	6.67	6.67	20.00	40.00	26.67	15	3.73 (62.22%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	20.00	33.33	15	3.60 (60.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.69 (61.55%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16						
Subject Name : PSP1301- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS - 2018- RAW MATERIAL ANALYSIS FOR RESINS AND POLYMERS [PSP1301]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	26.67	0.00	13.33	26.67	33.33	15	3.40 (56.67%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	20.00	0.00	26.67	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	20.00	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	15	3.47 (57.78%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	26.67	15	3.40 (56.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	33.33	15	3.47 (57.78%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.40 (56.67%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	6.67	13.33	33.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.53 (42.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	20.00	6.67	13.33	26.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	40.00	6.67	13.33	15	2.60 (43.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	13.33	13.33	20.00	20.00	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.53 (42.22%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	13.33	6.67	20.00	13.33	26.67	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.67	13.33	13.33	33.33	13.33	20.00	15	2.93 (48.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	13.33	6.67	20.00	26.67	13.33	20.00	15	2.80 (46.67%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	13.33	0.00	20.00	26.67	20.00	20.00	15	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.93 (48.89%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : MAP1202- Computer Applications Lab - 2018- Computer Applications Lab	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	6.67	20.00	15	2.27 (37.78%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	20.00	13.33	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	26.67	6.67	33.33	6.67	13.33	13.33	15	2.13 (35.56%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	26.67	6.67	26.67	6.67	20.00	13.33	15	2.27 (37.78%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	26.67	6.67	26.67	13.33	13.33	13.33	15	2.20 (36.67%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.27 (37.78%)

	Feedback Given By : 15 / Total No. of Students : 16							
Subject Name : PCB1301- MATERIAL TECHNOLOGY - 2018- MATERIAL TECHNOLOGY [PCB1301]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.75 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	6.67	6.67	20.00	33.33	33.33	15	3.80 (63.33%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	6.67	0.00	13.33	53.33	26.67	15	3.93 (65.56%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	6.67	13.33	20.00	33.33	26.67	15	3.60 (60.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	13.33	20.00	46.67	20.00	15	3.73 (62.22%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	13.33	20.00	40.00	26.67	15	3.80 (63.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.77 (62.89%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	23.08	7.69	23.08	46.15	0.00	0.00	13	1.92 (32.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.77 (29.49%)

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	23.08	7.69	23.08	15.38	23.08	7.69	13	2.31 (38.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	30.77	7.69	15.38	23.08	15.38	7.69	13	2.08 (34.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	15.38	30.77	15.38	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	23.08	0.00	30.77	30.77	7.69	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	38.46	0.00	23.08	23.08	7.69	7.69	13	1.85 (30.77%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.31 (38.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13							
Subject Name : CET1401- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING OPERATIONS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.69	0.00	7.69	38.46	7.69	38.46	13	3.54 (58.97%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	7.69	0.00	7.69	23.08	23.08	38.46	13	3.69 (61.54%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	7.69	7.69	15.38	15.38	15.38	38.46	13	3.38 (56.41%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	7.69	0.00	15.38	23.08	15.38	38.46	13	3.54 (58.97%)
5. Overall grade	7.69	0.00	15.38	7.69	23.08	46.15	13	3.77 (62.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.58 (59.74%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	23.08	7.69	23.08	46.15	0.00	0.00	13	1.92 (32.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.77 (29.49%)

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	23.08	7.69	23.08	15.38	23.08	7.69	13	2.31 (38.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	30.77	7.69	15.38	23.08	15.38	7.69	13	2.08 (34.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	15.38	30.77	15.38	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	23.08	0.00	30.77	30.77	7.69	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	38.46	0.00	23.08	23.08	7.69	7.69	13	1.85 (30.77%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.31 (38.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13							
Subject Name : CET1212- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING - 2018- CHEMICAL REACTION ENGINEERING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	38.46	30.77	15.38	15.38	13	3.08 (51.28%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	30.77	38.46	15.38	15.38	13	3.15 (52.56%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	7.69	23.08	38.46	15.38	15.38	13	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	23.08	46.15	15.38	15.38	13	3.23 (53.85%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	23.08	46.15	15.38	15.38	13	3.23 (53.85%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.15 (52.56%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	23.08	7.69	23.08	46.15	0.00	0.00	13	1.92 (32.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.77 (29.49%)

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	23.08	7.69	23.08	15.38	23.08	7.69	13	2.31 (38.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	30.77	7.69	15.38	23.08	15.38	7.69	13	2.08 (34.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	15.38	30.77	15.38	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	23.08	0.00	30.77	30.77	7.69	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	38.46	0.00	23.08	23.08	7.69	7.69	13	1.85 (30.77%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.31 (38.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13							
Subject Name : PST1504- TECHNOLOGY OF THERMOPLASTIC POLYMERS - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF THERMOPLASTIC POLYMERS [PST1504]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	30.77	15.38	38.46	15.38	0.00	0.00	13	1.38 (23.08%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	30.77	15.38	30.77	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.46 (24.36%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	23.08	23.08	23.08	30.77	0.00	0.00	13	1.62 (26.92%)
5. Overall grade	30.77	15.38	23.08	30.77	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.51 (25.13%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	23.08	7.69	23.08	46.15	0.00	0.00	13	1.92 (32.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	1.77 (29.49%)

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	23.08	7.69	23.08	15.38	23.08	7.69	13	2.31 (38.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	30.77	7.69	15.38	23.08	15.38	7.69	13	2.08 (34.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	15.38	30.77	15.38	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	23.08	0.00	30.77	30.77	7.69	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	38.46	0.00	23.08	23.08	7.69	7.69	13	1.85 (30.77%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.31 (38.46%)

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PST1505- TECHNOLOGY OF THERMOSET POLYMERS - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF THERMOSET POLYMERS [PST1505]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.69	0.00	7.69	30.77	23.08	30.77	13	3.54 (58.97%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	7.69	0.00	7.69	23.08	30.77	30.77	13	3.62 (60.26%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	7.69	0.00	7.69	23.08	30.77	30.77	13	3.62 (60.26%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	7.69	0.00	7.69	15.38	38.46	30.77	13	3.69 (61.54%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	7.69	30.77	23.08	38.46	13	3.92 (65.38%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.68 (61.28%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1503- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB - 2018- SYNTHESIS & CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS & POLYMERS LAB [PSP1503]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	23.08	7.69	23.08	46.15	0.00	0.00	13	1.92 (32.05%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	30.77	38.46	0.00	0.00	13	1.77 (29.49%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	30.77	7.69	38.46	23.08	0.00	0.00	13	1.54 (25.64%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								1.77 (29.49%)

		Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13						
Subject Name : PSP1504- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB - 2018- ANALYSIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF RESINS AND POLYMERS LAB [PSP1504]		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	23.08	7.69	23.08	15.38	23.08	7.69	13	2.31 (38.46%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	30.77	7.69	15.38	23.08	15.38	7.69	13	2.08 (34.62%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	30.77	0.00	15.38	30.77	15.38	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	23.08	0.00	30.77	30.77	7.69	7.69	13	2.23 (37.18%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	38.46	0.00	23.08	23.08	7.69	7.69	13	1.85 (30.77%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.31 (38.46%)

	Feedback Given By : 13 / Total No. of Students : 13							
Subject Name : SCT1506- ADDITIVES FOR COATING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	7.69	7.69	15.38	23.08	23.08	23.08	13	3.15 (52.56%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	15.38	0.00	15.38	23.08	30.77	15.38	13	3.00 (50.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	7.69	15.38	15.38	38.46	23.08	13	3.54 (58.97%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	15.38	7.69	23.08	23.08	15.38	15.38	13	2.62 (43.59%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	15.38	7.69	53.85	7.69	15.38	13	3.00 (50.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.06 (51.02%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 12 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 66.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	12	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	16.67	12	3.08 (51.39%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.33	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	25.00	12	2.83 (47.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.61%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	0.00	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	0.00	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CET1703- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL - 2018- CHEMICAL PROCESS CONTROL (CET1703)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	11.11	16.67	27.78	44.44	18	4.06 (67.59%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	11.11	11.11	33.33	44.44	18	4.11 (68.52%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	16.67	22.22	22.22	38.89	18	3.83 (63.89%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	16.67	5.56	33.33	44.44	18	4.06 (67.59%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	16.67	16.67	22.22	44.44	18	3.94 (65.74%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.00 (66.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 12 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 66.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	12	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	16.67	12	3.08 (51.39%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.33	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	25.00	12	2.83 (47.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.61%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	0.00	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	0.00	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PST1710- ENVIRONMENT HEALTH & SAFETY OF POLYMERS & COATING - 2018- ENVIRONMENT HEALTH & SAFETY OF POLYMERS & COATING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	16.67	5.56	16.67	22.22	27.78	11.11	18	2.72 (45.37%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	16.67	11.11	11.11	16.67	27.78	16.67	18	2.78 (46.30%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	16.67	0.00	22.22	11.11	38.89	11.11	18	2.89 (48.15%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	11.11	16.67	11.11	27.78	22.22	11.11	18	2.67 (44.44%)
5. Overall grade	16.67	0.00	22.22	11.11	33.33	16.67	18	2.94 (49.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.80 (46.67%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 12 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 66.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	12	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	16.67	12	3.08 (51.39%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.33	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	25.00	12	2.83 (47.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.61%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	0.00	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	0.00	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

		Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : PST1711- EVALUATION & TESTING OF POLYMERS & COATINGS - 2018- EVALUATION & TESTING OF POLYMERS & COATINGS (PST1711)		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	11.11	0.00	5.56	38.89	16.67	27.78	18	3.33 (55.56%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.56	33.33	22.22	38.89	18	3.94 (65.74%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	5.56	16.67	27.78	50.00	18	4.22 (70.37%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	11.11	0.00	11.11	22.22	27.78	27.78	18	3.39 (56.48%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	38.89	27.78	18	3.83 (63.89%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.74 (62.41%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 12 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 66.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	12	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	16.67	12	3.08 (51.39%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.33	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	25.00	12	2.83 (47.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.61%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	0.00	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	0.00	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : HUT1105- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II - 2018- INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT - II [HUT1105]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	5.56	0.00	5.56	27.78	38.89	22.22	18	3.61 (60.19%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	5.56	0.00	5.56	27.78	38.89	22.22	18	3.61 (60.19%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	44.44	22.22	18	3.61 (60.19%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5.56	0.00	11.11	16.67	44.44	22.22	18	3.61 (60.19%)
5. Overall grade	5.56	0.00	5.56	27.78	38.89	22.22	18	3.61 (60.19%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.61 (60.19%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 33.33 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

		Feedback Given By : 12 / Total No. of Students : 18						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 66.67 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	12	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	16.67	12	3.08 (51.39%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.33	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	25.00	12	2.83 (47.22%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.92 (48.61%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 5.56 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	0.00	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	0.00	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 18							
Subject Name : MAT1106- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS - 2018- DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS [MAT1106]	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	5.56	5.56	50.00	38.89	18	4.22 (70.37%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	5.56	5.56	50.00	38.89	18	4.22 (70.37%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	5.56	0.00	50.00	44.44	18	4.33 (72.22%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	5.56	0.00	50.00	44.44	18	4.33 (72.22%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	5.56	0.00	50.00	44.44	18	4.33 (72.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.29 (71.48%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 4						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 150.00 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 12 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 300.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	12	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	16.67	12	3.08 (51.39%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.33	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	25.00	12	2.83 (47.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.61%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 25.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 25.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 450.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	0.00	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	0.00	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 4 / Total No. of Students : 4							
Subject Name : CET1511- ELECTIVE - III : PLANT UTILITIES - 2018- PLANT UTILITIES (CET1511)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	4	4.50 (75.00%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	4	4.50 (75.00%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	4	4.50 (75.00%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	4	4.50 (75.00%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	75.00	4	4.50 (75.00%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.50 (75.00%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 7						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 85.71 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 12 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 171.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	12	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	16.67	12	3.08 (51.39%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.33	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	25.00	12	2.83 (47.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.61%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 14.29 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 14.29 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 257.14 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	0.00	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	0.00	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PET1712- ELECTIVE - II : TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING - 2018- TECHNOLOGY OF PLASTIC PACKAGING (PET1712)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	7	3.86 (64.29%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	28.57	42.86	7	4.00 (66.67%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	7	3.86 (64.29%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	7	3.86 (64.29%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	42.86	28.57	7	3.86 (64.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.77%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

		Feedback Given By : 6 / Total No. of Students : 7						
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-A		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 85.71 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	33.33	6	3.67 (61.11%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	16.67	6	3.50 (58.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.50 (58.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 12 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : CEP1714- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - 2018- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY(CEP1714) BATCH-B	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 171.43 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.33	0.00	33.33	33.33	0.00	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	8.33	0.00	41.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	12	2.83 (47.22%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	8.33	0.00	16.67	41.67	16.67	16.67	12	3.08 (51.39%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	8.33	8.33	25.00	25.00	8.33	25.00	12	2.92 (48.61%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	8.33	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	25.00	12	2.83 (47.22%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.92 (48.61%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PSP1708- SEMINAR	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 14.29 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 1 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PSP1709- PROJECT - I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 14.29 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	1	5.00 (83.33%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								5.00 (83.33%)

	Feedback Given By : 18 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PSP1710- IN-PLANT TRAINING	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 257.14 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	5.56	0.00	5.56	16.67	33.33	38.89	18	3.89 (64.81%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	5.56	0.00	5.56	11.11	44.44	33.33	18	3.89 (64.81%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	5.56	0.00	5.56	5.56	44.44	38.89	18	4.00 (66.67%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.56	5.56	5.56	11.11	33.33	38.89	18	3.78 (62.96%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.89 (64.81%)

	Feedback Given By : 7 / Total No. of Students : 7							
Subject Name : PHT1093- ELECTIVE - II : SPECTROSCOPY - 2018- SPECTROSCOPY (PHT1093)	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 100.00 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	14.29	7	3.86 (64.29%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	14.29	7	3.86 (64.29%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.00	14.29	14.29	57.14	14.29	7	3.71 (61.90%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.86	42.86	14.29	7	3.71 (61.90%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.57	57.14	14.29	7	3.86 (64.29%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.80 (63.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 153							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.46 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 153							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.46 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 153							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.46 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 153							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.46 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

		Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 153						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 93.46 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 153						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 92.81 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 153							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 92.81 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 153						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 92.81 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.63 (60.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 153							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 92.81 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 153							
Subject Name : CHT1341- PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 92.81 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	4.93	4.93	19.72	35.21	18.31	16.90	142	3.08 (51.29%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	2.82	3.52	23.94	36.62	16.90	16.20	142	3.10 (51.64%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	2.11	2.11	14.79	32.39	25.35	23.24	142	3.46 (57.75%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	4.23	5.63	16.20	35.92	20.42	17.61	142	3.15 (52.58%)
5. Overall grade	2.82	3.52	20.42	35.92	18.31	19.01	142	3.20 (53.40%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.20 (53.33%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

		Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.03 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.03 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 156						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.03 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.63 (60.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.03 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : CHT1401- ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	6.29	4.20	23.08	37.06	18.18	11.19	143	2.90 (48.37%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.29	12.59	29.37	32.17	11.89	7.69	143	2.54 (42.31%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	17.48	16.08	37.76	16.78	7.69	4.20	143	1.94 (32.28%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	8.39	11.19	34.27	27.97	11.89	6.29	143	2.43 (40.44%)
5. Overall grade	6.99	10.49	32.17	37.06	9.09	4.20	143	2.43 (40.56%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.45 (40.79%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

		Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.03 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.03 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 156						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.03 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.63 (60.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.03 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 156							
Subject Name : CHT1401- ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 91.67 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.70	0.70	6.29	20.28	39.86	32.17	143	3.94 (65.73%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.00	1.40	5.59	18.18	45.45	29.37	143	3.96 (65.97%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.00	0.70	4.20	15.38	43.36	36.36	143	4.10 (68.41%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	0.70	5.59	16.78	42.66	34.27	143	4.04 (67.37%)
5. Overall grade	0.00	1.40	2.10	17.48	46.15	32.87	143	4.07 (67.83%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								4.02 (67.06%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : MAT1101- APPLIED MATHEMATICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	4.20	4.90	13.29	36.36	25.87	15.38	143	3.21 (53.50%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.70	3.50	15.38	34.97	27.27	18.18	143	3.39 (56.53%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.70	3.50	7.69	35.66	34.97	17.48	143	3.53 (58.86%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	2.10	4.20	17.48	34.27	23.78	18.18	143	3.28 (54.66%)
5. Overall grade	1.40	2.80	9.79	39.16	32.17	14.69	143	3.42 (56.99%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.37 (56.11%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : MAT1101- APPLIED MATHEMATICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	1.40	2.10	49.65	30.07	11.19	5.59	143	2.64 (44.06%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	2.10	3.50	48.25	29.37	11.19	5.59	143	2.61 (43.47%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	2.10	3.50	46.85	30.07	10.49	6.99	143	2.64 (44.06%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	1.40	3.50	48.95	28.67	11.89	5.59	143	2.63 (43.82%)
5. Overall grade	2.80	2.80	46.15	31.47	10.49	6.29	143	2.63 (43.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.63 (43.85%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 90.51 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 158						
Subject Name : MAT1101- APPLIED MATHEMATICS-I		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 89.87 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	17.61	9.86	23.94	33.10	8.45	7.04	142	2.26 (37.68%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	20.42	14.08	28.87	25.35	6.34	4.93	142	1.98 (32.98%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	19.01	20.42	26.06	24.65	4.93	4.93	142	1.91 (31.81%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	23.94	11.97	30.99	22.54	4.93	5.63	142	1.89 (31.57%)
5. Overall grade	19.01	12.68	29.58	27.46	6.34	4.93	142	2.04 (34.04%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	2.02 (33.62%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

		Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.56%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : PYT1101- APPLIED PHYSICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	1.40	2.80	13.99	23.08	32.87	25.87	143	3.61 (60.14%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.70	0.00	9.79	22.38	34.27	32.87	143	3.88 (64.69%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.70	0.00	12.59	22.38	35.66	28.67	143	3.78 (63.05%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.70	0.70	7.69	23.08	37.06	30.77	143	3.87 (64.57%)
5. Overall grade	1.40	0.00	8.39	22.38	38.46	29.37	143	3.85 (64.10%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.80 (63.31%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

		Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.56%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : PYT1101- APPLIED PHYSICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	0.00	0.70	8.39	32.17	34.27	24.48	143	3.73 (62.24%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.70	2.10	11.89	29.37	32.87	23.08	143	3.61 (60.14%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.70	3.50	12.59	37.06	24.48	21.68	143	3.46 (57.69%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	0.00	4.20	13.29	30.77	28.67	23.08	143	3.53 (58.86%)
5. Overall grade	0.70	2.10	11.19	28.67	34.97	22.38	143	3.62 (60.37%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.59 (59.86%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report
Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

		Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
							Average For Nov-Dec-2018	3.63 (60.56%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : PYT1101- APPLIED PHYSICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	3.50	4.20	23.08	35.66	20.98	12.59	143	3.04 (50.70%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	6.29	6.29	40.56	27.27	11.89	7.69	143	2.55 (42.54%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4.90	4.90	32.17	26.57	18.18	13.29	143	2.88 (48.02%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	4.20	4.20	35.66	25.87	20.28	9.79	143	2.83 (47.20%)
5. Overall grade	4.20	3.50	33.57	32.17	18.18	8.39	143	2.82 (46.97%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.83 (47.09%)



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY
INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY

N.M.Parekh Marg, Matunga, Mumbai 400019 India.
Ph: +91-22-33611111/2222, Fax: +91-22-33611020, www.ictmumbai.edu.in

Anonymous Feedback Report

Feedback : July-Dec 2018

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2.10	0.70	27.97	38.46	22.38	8.39	143	3.03 (50.58%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.40	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.09	143	3.04 (50.70%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	28.67	39.86	20.28	9.79	143	3.08 (51.28%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	0.70	30.77	36.36	17.48	13.29	143	3.08 (51.28%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.40	0.70	29.37	37.76	21.68	9.09	143	3.05 (50.82%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.03 (50.58%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	8.39	3.50	35.66	34.27	12.59	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.29	6.29	37.06	31.47	13.29	5.59	143	2.56 (42.66%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	6.29	5.59	35.66	33.57	13.29	5.59	143	2.59 (43.12%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.29	5.59	37.76	32.17	11.89	6.29	143	2.57 (42.77%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	7.69	4.20	40.56	28.67	13.29	5.59	143	2.52 (42.07%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.56 (42.66%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : GEP1101- ENGINEERING GRAPHICS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.40	2.80	7.69	26.57	29.37	32.17	143	3.76 (62.70%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	2.10	2.10	6.99	26.57	31.47	30.77	143	3.76 (62.59%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.40	2.80	6.29	29.37	29.37	30.77	143	3.75 (62.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.40	2.10	8.39	25.17	31.47	31.47	143	3.78 (62.94%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.10	2.10	6.99	27.27	31.47	30.07	143	3.74 (62.35%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.76 (62.70%)

	Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : HUP1101- COMMUNICATION SKILLS	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	2.10	9.09	25.87	30.07	32.17	143	3.79 (63.17%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.00	2.80	10.49	24.48	28.67	33.57	143	3.80 (63.29%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.10	11.19	23.08	27.97	34.97	143	3.80 (63.40%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.00	1.40	11.89	21.68	30.07	34.97	143	3.85 (64.22%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.00	1.40	9.79	23.78	29.37	35.66	143	3.88 (64.69%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.79 (63.17%)

		Feedback Given By : 143 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 96.62 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	6.99	6.99	26.57	40.56	10.49	8.39	143	2.66 (44.29%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	6.99	6.99	32.17	37.76	7.69	8.39	143	2.57 (42.89%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	7.69	6.29	31.47	35.66	9.09	9.79	143	2.62 (43.59%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	6.99	7.69	32.17	35.66	8.39	9.09	143	2.58 (43.01%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	5.59	9.09	29.37	38.46	9.09	8.39	143	2.62 (43.59%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.66 (44.29%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	33.80	35.21	18.31	11.27	142	3.04 (50.59%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	2.11	33.10	30.99	21.83	11.27	142	3.05 (50.82%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	2.11	33.10	33.80	18.31	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	33.10	33.80	19.01	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	1.41	0.70	32.39	36.62	16.90	11.97	142	3.03 (50.47%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.59%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	0.70	0.70	42.25	35.92	14.08	6.34	142	2.81 (46.83%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	0.70	1.41	45.07	28.87	16.90	7.04	142	2.81 (46.83%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	1.41	43.66	33.80	14.79	5.63	142	2.77 (46.24%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	1.41	43.66	31.69	16.20	6.34	142	2.80 (46.71%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	0.70	1.41	42.96	35.92	12.68	6.34	142	2.77 (46.24%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								2.81 (46.83%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	11.97	33.80	23.24	28.87	142	3.63 (60.56%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	0.70	9.86	37.32	21.13	29.58	142	3.65 (60.80%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	1.41	0.70	10.56	38.03	20.42	28.87	142	3.62 (60.33%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	1.41	2.11	11.27	36.62	21.83	26.76	142	3.56 (59.27%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	2.11	10.56	35.92	21.13	28.17	142	3.56 (59.39%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.63 (60.56%)

		Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148						
Subject Name : CHP1343- PHYSICAL AND ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY LAB		Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %						
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	1.41	0.70	35.92	33.80	14.79	13.38	142	3.00 (50.00%)
2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	1.41	2.82	34.51	33.80	14.79	12.68	142	2.96 (49.30%)
3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	0.70	0.70	34.51	35.92	12.68	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	0.70	2.11	35.92	33.10	14.08	14.08	142	3.00 (50.00%)
5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	2.11	0.70	33.10	35.92	14.08	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.00 (50.00%)

	Feedback Given By : 142 / Total No. of Students : 148							
Subject Name : PYT1101- APPLIED PHYSICS-I	Percentage of Feedback Given(%) : 95.95 %							
Nov-Dec-2018	Poor	Inadequate	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Total Number Of Student	Average / Percentage
1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately,Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	1.41	6.34	24.65	33.10	22.54	11.97	142	3.05 (50.82%)
2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	0.70	7.75	21.13	37.32	19.01	14.08	142	3.08 (51.41%)
3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	0.70	9.86	26.06	28.87	21.83	12.68	142	2.99 (49.88%)
4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	1.41	7.04	24.65	36.62	16.20	14.08	142	3.01 (50.23%)
5. Overall grade	1.41	5.63	27.46	32.39	17.61	15.49	142	3.06 (50.94%)
Average For Nov-Dec-2018								3.04 (50.66%)

Jan-May 2018								
Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	GTT2101- CAVITATION FOR GREEN PROCESSES - 2018- ELECTIVE - CAVITATION FOR	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 18	4	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3
2	CET2154- ADVANCED SEPARATION PROCESSES - 2018 - CORE IV : ADVANCED	Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 30	4	4.67	5	5	5	4.73
3	CET2155- ADVANCED MASS TRANSFER - 2018 - CORE V : ADVANCED MASS TRANSFER [Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 30	4	4.33	4	4	4.33	4.13
4	CET2156- MULTIPHASE REACTOR ENGINEERING - 2018- CORE VI : MULTIPHASE	Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 30	4	4	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.2
5	CET2252- INTERFACIAL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING - 2018 - INTERFACIAL SCIENCE	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 13	4	5	5	5	5	4.8
6	CET2279- CFD APPLICATION IN CHEMICAL PROCESSES - 2018- CFD APPLICATION IN	Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 29	4.67	4.67	5	4.33	4.33	4.6
Total			4.11	4.45	4.64	4.53	4.58	4.46

Jan-May 2018								
Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	Average
1	CEP2354- PROCESS SIMULATION AND MODELLING LABORATORY - 2018 - PROCESS SIMULATION AND MODELLING LABORATORY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 30	4.67	4.67	4.67	5	5	4.8
Total			4.67	4.67	4.67	5	5	4.8

Jan-May 2018								
Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	PHT2206- ADVANCED PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY - 2018- ADVANCED PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMISTRY [PHT2206]	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 6	5	2	4	2	4	3.4
2	PHT2205- ADVANCED MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY - II	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 6	4	4	4	4	5	4.2
3	PHT2106- MODELS FOR DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS EVALUTION - 2018- MODELS FOR DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS EVALUTION (PHT2106)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 12	5	5	3	2	3	3.6
4	PHT2303- TOPICS IN PHARMACOLOGY - 2018- TOPICS IN PHARMACOLOGY (PHT2303)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 6	3	5	2	2	2	2.8

5	PHT2304- ADVANCED PHARMACOGNOSY AND PHYTOCHEMISTRY - 2018- ADVANCED PHARMACOGNOSY AND PHYTOCHEMISTRY (PHT2304)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 6	4	1	2	3	1	2.2
6	PHT2204- SPECTROSCOPY - 2018- SPECTROSCOPY [PHT2204]	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 18	3	3	3	2.5	3	2.9
7	PBT2123- ELECTIVE 3 :- BIOSTATISTICS - 2018- ELECTIVE 3 :- BIOSTATISTICS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 18	3.5	4.5	4	4	4.5	4.1
8	PHT2022- ACTIVE PHARMACEUTICAL INGREDIENTS TECHNOLOGY - 2018- ACTIVE PHARMACEUTICAL INGREDIENTS TECHNOLOGY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 6	2	3	3	3	3	2.8
Total			3.69	3.44	3.13	2.81	3.19	3.25

Jan-May 2018

Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	Average
1	PHP2507- ADVANCED PHARMACEUTICAL AND	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 6	3	2	3	2	3	2.6
2	PHP2507- ADVANCED PHARMACEUTICAL AND	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 6	2	4	3	3	4	3.2
Total			2.5	3	3	2.5	3.5	2.9

Jan-May 2018								
Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approachability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	CHT2311- NATURAL PRODUCTS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 18 / 19	2.11	2.44	2.39	2.22	2.28	2.29
2	CHT2312- POLYMER CHEMISTRY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 17 / 17	1.65	1.71	1.76	1.59	1.65	1.67
3	CHT2611- SURFACE AND INTERFACIAL CHEMISTRY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 13 / 13	3.62	3.31	3.54	3.54	3.54	3.51
4	CHT2612- SUPRAMOLECULAR CHEMISTRY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 9 / 9	4.22	4.11	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.31
5	CHT2404- BIOCHEMISTRY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 19 / 20	4.11	4.11	4.16	4.11	4.21	4.14
6	CHT2603- CHEMICAL PROJECT ECONOMICS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 19 / 20	2.11	2.11	2.11	2.26	2.26	2.17
7	CHT2604- CATALYSIS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 19 / 20	2.84	2.95	3.05	2.79	2.79	2.88
8	CHT2604- CATALYSIS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 19 / 20	3	3.05	3.11	2.9	3.05	3.02

9	CHP2601- PROJECT	Feedback Given / Total Student : 19 / 20	3.11	3.21	3.16	3.16	3.21	3.17
Total			2.97	3	3.07	3	3.05	3.02

Jan-May 2018

Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	MAT2205- OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 19	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	MAT2206- COMPLEX ANALYSIS AND MATHEMATICAL METHODS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 19	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	MAT2207- ADVANCED REAL ANALYSIS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 19	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	MAT2302- APPLIED STATISTICS II	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 19	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	MAT2208- DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS II	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 19	4	4	4	4	4	4
6	MAT2402- NUMERICAL METHODS II	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 19	4	4	4	4	4	4
Total			4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5

Jan-May 2018								
Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approachability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	PYT2201- QUANTUM MECHANICS II	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 15	4	4	4	4	4	4
2	PYT2201- QUANTUM MECHANICS II	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 15	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	PYT2202- POLYMER PHYSICS I	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 15	2	2	1.5	1.5	2	1.8
4	PYT2203- ELECTRONICS II	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 15	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
5	PYT2204- CLASSICAL ELECTRODYNAMICS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 15	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	1.5	1.6
6	PYT2205- MATERIALS SYNTHESIS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 15	1.5	2	2	2	2	1.9
7	PYT2205- MATERIALS SYNTHESIS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 15	1.5	2	2	2	2	1.9

8	PYT2206- ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES I - 2018- ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES I- (PYT2206)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 15	3	3	3	3	3	3
9	PYT2403- COLOUR SCIENCE	Feedback Given / Total Student : 7 /	1.57	1	3.71	2.29	2.43	2.2
10	PYT2401- INTRODUCTION TO NANOSCIENCE	Feedback Given / Total Student : 7 /	1.86	2.43	2.43	2.29	2.57	2.31
11	PYT2402- INTRODUCTION TO PHASE TRANSFORMATIONS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 7 / 7	3.29	3.57	3.71	3.57	3.57	3.54
12	PYT2404- ADVANCED POLYMER PHYSICS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 7 /	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57
Total			2.61	2.71	2.91	2.81	2.85	2.78


Jan-May 2018								
Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approach-ability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	GTT2006- ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & POLLUTION CONTROL - 2018- ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & POLLUTION CONTROL (GTT2006)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 23	4	4	4	3	4	3.8
2	GTT2004- ADVANCES IN SEPARATION PROCESSES - 2018- ADVANCES IN SEPARATION PROCESSES (GTT2004)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 23	5	5	5	5	5	5
3	GTT2005- CATALYSIS II - 2018 - CATALYSIS II [GTT2005]	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 25	4	4	4	4	4	4
Total			4.33	4.33	4.33	4	4.33	4.27

Jan-May 2017

Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approachability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	TXT2208- DYEING OF NATURAL FIBRES - 2018- DYEING OF NATURAL FIBRES (TXT2208)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 20	4	4	4	4	4	4
2	TXT2209- DYEING OF MANMADE FIBRES - 2018- DYEING OF MANMADE FIBRES (TXT2209)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 20	4.5	4	4	4	4	4.1
3	TXT2210- TECHNOLOGY OF WET PROCESSING MACHINERY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 /	2	2	2	2	2	2
4	TXT2210- TECHNOLOGY OF WET PROCESSING MACHINERY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 /	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	TXT2302- INSTRUMENTAL METHODS OF ANALYSIS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 /	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
6	TXT2402- PROCESSING OF GARMENTS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 /	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
7	TXT2303- COMPUTER APPLICATION IN COLOUR EVALUATION	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 20	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Total			3.57	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.51

Jan-May 2017								
Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Nature of experiments planned for the course- Were the experiments based on theory covered in the current/previous semester?	2. Did the experimental observations/results help in enhancing the understanding of subject?	3. Were the experiments sufficient in number and time for the time slot given for the practicals?	4. Was the correction of journals and conducting Viva/Tests carried out in all fairness?	5. The break-up of marks was transparent to the student?	Average
1	TXP 2016- FACTORY/LABORATORY TRAINING	Feedback Given / Total Student : 10 / 13	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.36
2	TXP 2017- PROJECT	Feedback Given / Total Student : 10 / 13	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.42
3	TXP2011- TESTING & APPLICATION OF AUXILIARIES	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 20	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	TXP2009- DYEING OF NATURAL FIBRES	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 20	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	TXP2010- DYEING OF MANMADE FIBRES	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 20	5	5	5	5	5	5
Total			4.76	4.76	4.76	4.74	4.76	4.76

Jan-May 2018								
Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approachability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	TXT2805- SUSTAINABLE TEXTILE PROCESSING - 2017- SUSTAINABLE TEXTILE	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 9	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	TXT2204- DEVELOPMENTS IN TEXTILE AUXILIARY	Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 8	3.67	4	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.67
3	TXT2804- MANAGEMENT OF TEXTILE WASTE - 2018- MANAGEMENT OF	Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 9	2.67	3.33	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.27
4	TXT2503- SMART TEXTILE - 2018- SMART TEXTILE (TXT2503)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 9	3.33	3.67	3.33	3	3.33	3.33
5	TXT2503- SMART TEXTILE - 2018- SMART TEXTILE (TXT2503)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 3 / 9	3	3.33	3.67	3.33	3	3.27
6	TXT2203- DEVELOPMENTS IN TEXTILE PROCESSING	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 8	4	4.5	4.5	4	4	4.2
7	TXT2903- LABORATORY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 2 / 8	2.5	3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.2
Total			3.45	3.83	3.91	3.64	3.69	3.71



Jan-May 2018

Sr. No.	Course	Feedback Given By	1. Your satisfaction about examinations in terms of questions being based on syllabus adequately, Assessment of papers being fair and answer-books being shown/returned	2. Your satisfaction about availability and approachability of teacher as well as his/her attitude towards students.	3. Your satisfaction about the ability of teacher to present ideas clearly, control the class adequately being enthusiastic in generating interest in the subject.	4. Your satisfaction about the syllabus coverage, lecture being organized well, and being helpful in developing understanding, use of the board and appropriate modern tools.	5. Overall grade	Average
1	FDT2055- BIOTECHNOLOGY OF FERMENTED FOODS - 2018- BIOTECHNOLOGY OF FERMENTED FOODS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	3	3	3	3	3
2	FDT2055- BIOTECHNOLOGY OF FERMENTED FOODS - 2018- BIOTECHNOLOGY OF FERMENTED FOODS	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	FDT2058- BIOPROCESS ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY - 2018- BIOPROCESS ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY [FDT2058]	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	FDT2058- BIOPROCESS ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY - 2018- BIOPROCESS ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY [FDT2058]	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	3	3	3	3	3

5	FDT2004- ADVANCES IN FOOD ENGINEERING - 2018- ADVANCES IN FOOD ENGINEERING (FDT 2004)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	3	3	3	3	3
6	FDT2004- ADVANCES IN FOOD ENGINEERING - 2018- ADVANCES IN FOOD ENGINEERING (FDT 2004)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	3	3	3	3	3
7	FDT2002- FOOD SAFETY & TOXICOLOGY - 2018- FOOD SAFETY & TOXICOLOGY [FDT2002]	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	3	3	3	3	3
8	FDT2002- FOOD SAFETY & TOXICOLOGY - 2018- FOOD SAFETY & TOXICOLOGY [FDT2002]	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	2	3	3	3	2.8
9	FDT2003- ADVANCES IN NUTRITION - 2018- ADVANCES IN NUTRITION (FDT2003)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	3	3	3	3	3	3
10	FDT2003- ADVANCES IN NUTRITION - 2018- ADVANCES IN NUTRITION (FDT2003)	Feedback Given / Total Student : 1 / 10	2	3	3	3	3	2.8
Total			2.9	2.9	3	3	3	2.96

