Institutional Governance Review

Based on feedback gathered from ICT Faculty
Is the Institute sustainable in the foreseeable future?

- A strategy is currently being evolved
- Highly motivated faculty
- Prolonged non-recruitment a rising concern
- State Government rules restrict growth
- Well-defined strategies for long-term sustainability needed

71% Yes
13% Maybe
10% No
6% Don't Know
Is the overall education imparted to the students satisfactory?

- BoM should play a more active role
- More streamlined student feedback mechanisms needed
- Career guidance and soft skills need emphasis
- Existing efforts need reinforcement in the form of new faculty

60% Yes
17% Maybe
13% No
10% Don't Know
Is the Institutional Plan in the following areas adequate?

- Societal impact is the correct yardstick for research quality
- Vibrant symbiotic relationship exists with industry
- Faculty and staff shortage an impediment to progress
- Greater transparency desirable in financial matters of the Institute
- Grossly inadequate infrastructure, especially space
- Better support needed from administrative departments
Is the Institutional Governance in the following areas adequate?

• Better communication between governing bodies and faculty desirable
• More streamlined strategic vision and mission plan desired
How effective is the role of the governing bodies in the functioning of the Institute?

- Most faculty are reasonably satisfied with the governance
- Some feel BoM should play a more proactive role
- In spite of appointments of UGC-FRP/ DST / DBT fellows, faculty recruitment remains a risk to sustainability
- Greater support from State Government anticipated
Thank You